

A Study on Prioritising Multi-Sensory Interventions in Driving Warning Systems

Taige Ren^{1*}

¹ College of Art and Design, Zhengzhou University of Light Industry, Zhengzhou, China

Email Address

312695385@qq.com (Taige Ren)

*Correspondence: 312695385@qq.com

Received: 25 December 2023; **Accepted:** 16 January 2024; **Published:** 13 March 2024

Abstract:

The research objective of this paper is to investigate the importance of sensory priorities and multi-sensory intervention techniques in driving warning systems and their future trends. The paper introduces the concept of sensory priority and its role in driving, highlighting the influence of the driver's mental cognition, external environment, and experience on forming perceptual priorities. And then it presents the interventions of the visual, auditory, and tactile senses in the driving process and the logic of sensory priority changes in different driving scenarios. The paper explores future research directions, including the dynamics of perceptual priorities, the design optimization of driver assistance systems, the application of emotion recognition technology, interdisciplinary integration, and data-driven driving behavior analysis. Based on this, future directions of multi-sensory intervention technologies are elaborated, such as multi-sensory fusion technologies, cross-sensory fusion innovations, a fusion of virtual reality and augmented reality technologies, personalized interactions, and human-computer collaboration. These research results will provide an in-depth understanding and guidance for intelligent driving systems' design and future development, which are crucial for enhancing the driving experience and safety.

Keywords:

Multi-Sensory, Sensory Prioritization, Multi-Sensory Intervention Techniques, Warning Driving, Traffic Safety

1. Introduction

1.1. Background of the study

1.1.1. Importance of driver safety and driver warning systems

Driving safety is a critical concern in both urban and rural road transportation due to the significant casualties and property losses caused by traffic accidents each year. Driver alert systems have emerged as a solution to this problem, which is crucial in enhancing driving safety. These systems can detect and alert drivers to potential hazards promptly and improve driving behavior, thereby reducing accidents. Furthermore, as various technologies continue to develop and advance, Driver Alert

Systems are constantly improving in functionality and operation, providing more opportunities to improve driving safety.

1.1.2. The Role of Multi-sensory Interventions in Driving Safety

With the rapid development of current technology, driver assistance systems have evolved from single visual and voice alerts to multi-sensory interventions. Multi-sensory intervention technology uses visual, auditory, and tactile senses to transmit driving information to drivers, aiming to improve driving safety. It is an important part of driver assistance systems. Traditional driving warning systems primarily rely on visual cues. However, a single visual intervention may be ignored or unsuitable for certain driving conditions, such as fatigue, poor visibility, and other special driving environments. Therefore, multi-sensory interventions are necessary to improve driving safety. As a result, multi-sensory sensors, such as auditory and tactile sensors, are being integrated to provide more comprehensive and timely driving information. For instance, in a typical driving scenario, visual warnings and auditory voice announcements can enhance the driver's attention and alert them of potential dangers promptly. However, in adverse weather conditions such as rain and fog, where visibility is low, the effectiveness of visual alerts is significantly reduced. In such situations, the intervention of tactile warnings can better alert drivers to potential driving hazards.

1.2. Purpose and significance of the study

Multi-sensory intervention technology itself is of great significance to driving safety. This paper focuses on comparing the speed of each sense in physiologically transmitting information like the human brain as well as combining multiple driving scenarios to propose how existing driving warning systems can be based on a more comprehensive monitoring of the driving environment and the use of the most efficient sensory warnings to provide drivers with multi-faceted information support to reduce the risk of accidents.

1.3. Status of research

The United States, Japan, and Germany, as more developed countries in the automobile industry, have developed mature automobile warning devices. In the 1990s, Japan established the SV-Advance Safety Vehicle program, which includes preventive safety technology, collision and post-accident control technology, and technology for avoiding potential accidents while driving. Furthermore, the United States and Germany have developed early warning radar devices utilizing adaptive control and other technologies. Electrographic signals were not mentioned in the original text and therefore have not been included in the improved version. In 1987, Golob conducted a statistical and analytical study examining 9,000 large truck crashes on a Los Angeles freeway over a two-year period. The author examines the factors that contribute to traffic accidents and the relationship between various types of crashes from multiple viewpoints. By using linear modelling, the author uncovers intricate connections between different crash types and accident characteristics. This study offers valuable insights into the features of accidents involving large trucks and their effects on the transportation system. Golob [1] and his team have identified the key factors to reduce the occurrence of traffic accidents in their research. Researchers at the University of Iowa (USA) conducted an in-depth analysis of the mechanisms of road traffic accidents. They found that the impact effects of warnings vary depending

on the frequency used. [2] Based on these findings, they have proposed a series of targeted measures aimed at effectively maintaining and safeguarding road traffic safety. Oxford University's research institute also found that tactile warning also has some influence on the relevant experimental results. [3] Dr. Wu from Hunan University also conducted research on lane departure and found that multi-sensory combination warning is more effective than single warning. [4] In 2000, Marc and Karine [5], after conducting experiments on more than 80 roads, concluded that drivers could not fully and correctly react to road hazards only by adjusting the speed, and pointed out that the speed and standard deviation had an important influence on the accident rate and severity, and proposed a corresponding theoretical model. In 2004, Sullivan's research [6] found that, under the unobstructed situation during the daytime, the rear-end accidents of big truck rear-end accidents are usually caused by the sudden stop of the front vehicle and the negligence of the rear driver, and this carelessness is the main cause of rear-end accidents. Together, these studies provide valuable insights into our understanding of road traffic safety.

2. Sensory Interventions in Driving

2.1. Driving warning systems and multi-sensory interventions

2.1.1. Overview of driving warning systems

According to physiological studies, fatigue driving can trigger a variety of abnormal signals, including changes in cerebral, cardiac, and electrographic signals, and is also accompanied by frequent blinking, nodding, yawning and other facial fatigue symptoms. In addition, long periods of time without touching the steering wheel, gas and brake pedals, and even vehicles with dangerous behavior such as snaking, close to the front of the car and other phenomena. In order to warn drivers in time and identify fatigue characteristics accurately and reliably, fatigue driving warning systems are usually composed of signal acquisition, feature extraction, information fusion, fatigue determination and alarm output modules. Using various sensors such as image, LIDAR, pressure, angular displacement, etc., the system collects and processes fatigue feature information in real time, and establishes an intelligent judgment model through signal processing and information fusion technology, and ultimately reminds drivers to pay attention to driving safety by means of acoustic and optical alarms.

2.1.2. Multi-sensory interventions in driving warning systems

In 1994, Pioneer developed a system to prevent driver fatigue in Japan. The system utilizes a new technology that detects signs of driving fatigue by monitoring the driver's heart rate and alerts the driver approximately 15 minutes before the onset of a premonitory drowsiness, effectively avoiding potential accidents. At the heart of the technology is a paper-like heartbeat sensor mounted on the steering wheel, which is sensed when the driver holds the wheel. The sensor detects the heart rate every 15 seconds, as the heart rate generally drops just before a person is about to doze off. By monitoring changes in heart rate, the system can roughly determine whether the driver has a tendency to drive fatigued. Once the driver is found to show signs of fatigue, the system will remind the driver to be alert by changing the rhythm of the music, etc [7]. When the driver is fatigued, the reaction speed of operating the vehicle and the movement of the steering wheel will become sluggish. In 1999, Electronic Safety Products developed a steering wheel monitoring system called S.A.M (steering attention monitor). The system monitors the steering wheel's movement by means of a

magnetic strip and sensor device mounted on the rod underneath the steering wheel. When the system detects that the steering wheel has not moved for four seconds, S.A.M triggers an audible alarm that continues to alert the driver until the steering wheel resumes normal movement. This system is installed next to the in-vehicle tape recorder and is designed to effectively detect abnormal steering wheel movements to safeguard the driving process [8].

2.2. Effects of multi-sensory interventions on driving behavior

2.2.1. Visual interventions

Visual information plays a crucial role in perceiving and understanding the external environment. When light rays enter the eye through the cornea and lens of the eye, these rays are captured by visual receptor cells in the retina and converted into neural signals. These signals are transmitted to the visual cortex via the optic nerve. In the brain, the information undergoes complex processing and interpretation in the thalamus and visual cortex. Visual information is processed relatively quickly, allowing people to perceive and respond to their environment quickly and accurately in a short period of time.

2.2.2. Auditory interventions

The auditory system captures sound waves and converts them into neural signals. When sound enters the outer ear, it travels through the cochlea to the auditory nerve and then to the brainstem and midbrain. These sound signals are processed and interpreted in the auditory cortex. Although auditory information is processed slightly slower than visual information, it can still analyze and recognize sounds in a shorter period of time, helping people to perceive the sound environment around them.

2.2.3. Haptic intervention

The sensation of touch is received through sensory nerves in the skin, and these signals are then transmitted to the spinal cord and through the dorsal horn of the spinal cord to the cerebral cortex. The sensory areas of the cerebral cortex are responsible for the integration and interpretation of tactile information. Although tactile information is transmitted relatively slowly, it plays a key role in sensing the texture, temperature and pressure of surroundings and objects. If a driver is in a state of heavy fatigue, tactile alerts will be more effective than visual and auditory warnings [9].

3. Factors Influencing the Prioritization of Multi-Sensory Interventions

3.1. Cognition and Perception

3.1.1. Cognitive processing of different sensory inputs

Vision: The human eye consists of the cornea, pupil, lens and retina. Light enters the eye through the cornea, the pupil regulates the amount of light entering, and the lens focuses the light onto the retina. The retina perceives the light and converts it into nerve signals that are transmitted to the brain via the optic nerve. **Processing of visual information by the brain:** The transmission of visual information to the brain involves several stages. The optic nerve transmits neural signals to the visual cortex in the brain. The visual cortex is responsible for decoding and processing these signals,

analyzing the image for features such as shape, color, motion, and depth. Vision is the driver's primary source of information about the road, vehicles, and surroundings. Drivers visually perceive traffic signs, vehicles, pedestrians, and obstacles, which helps to identify potential hazards and avoid obstacles. Visual information helps the driver to perceive the relative position and distance of the vehicle from its surroundings. This spatial perception is essential for maintaining a safe distance and avoiding collisions. Visual input affects the driver's cognitive load. In a complex traffic environment, the amount and clarity of visual information directly affects driver fatigue and attention. At the same time vision helps drivers to recognize traffic signs, signals and road markings, which helps to understand the rules of the road and make driving decisions accordingly.

Hearing: the ear is divided into the outer, middle and inner ear. The outer ear receives sound and transmits it to the eardrum, tympanic membrane and ear canal. The eardrum in the middle ear vibrates and conducts to the chain of bones, which is then transmitted to the inner ear through the oval window. The inner ear, which includes the cochlea, vestibule, and semicircular canals, is responsible for converting sound waves into nerve signals that are then transmitted to the brain.

Auditory transmission and processing to the brain: The process of auditory transmission to the brain involves multiple stages. Hair cells in the inner ear convert sound waves into nerve signals that travel through the auditory nerve to the brainstem and auditory cortex. The auditory cortex is located in the temporal lobe and is responsible for processing and interpreting auditory information. The brain decodes and understands sound based on information about its frequency, intensity and direction.

Tactile: Research has shown that humans have a certain threshold for the perception of tactile stimuli. The design of the warning system needs to take into account the driver's perception threshold of tactile stimuli such as vibration to ensure that they can clearly detect the warning signal, while avoiding the discomfort caused by too strong or too weak stimuli. There is a certain correlation between frequency and intensity, and different frequency and intensity of vibration will have different effects on the driver's perception and attention. Therefore, both need to be taken into account in the design to ensure that there is sufficient intensity and frequency to attract attention when generating warnings. **Relationship between location and effect:** The location of the vibration stimulus is closely related to its effect. Research has shown that vibration in the seat, steering wheel or other parts of the body can provide different levels of perception and response. System design needs to fully consider the characteristics of these locations and select the most effective stimulation location. **Context-aware and adaptive design:** Driving context and environment are crucial to the design of an early warning system. Under different driving situations, the driver's perception and response to warning signals may differ, so it is necessary to design an adaptive and intelligent warning system, so that it can provide reasonable warnings and responses according to different situations.

3.1.2. Perceptual Priority Formation

Perceptual priority determines the allocation of a driver's attention to different perceptual inputs. In this section, the mechanisms of perceptual priority formation are explored, mainly including the effects of mental cognition, external environment, and driver experience on perceptual priority.

A driver's psychological perception is one of the most important factors in the formation of perceived priorities. Research has shown that drivers' personal emotions, expectations, and the importance they place on particular information can influence the shaping of perceptual priorities. For example, for some drivers, warning audio may attract more attention than visual warnings [10].

The external environment also significantly influences the formation of perceptual priorities. Different driving environments, traffic conditions, and road situations direct how much attention drivers pay to perceptual information. In complex, congested, or high-speed driving situations, drivers tend to focus more on visual inputs.

Driver experience and level of training are also critical in shaping perceptual prioritization. Experienced drivers are able to react more quickly to information and differentiate the importance of different inputs. Specialized training can also help drivers better manage perceptual inputs under stress [11].

It is important to emphasize that the perceptual priority is not fixed. It should be dynamically adjusted during the driving process. Facing different unexpected situations, drivers may instinctively change the sensory priority and focus their attention on the most urgent information, and this dynamic adjustment is an important strategy in the design process of driving warning systems.

4. Conclusions and Future Perspectives

4.1. Directions for future research

Firstly future research could explore the dynamics of perceptual prioritization in more depth. Considering the changing contexts in driving, we need a more comprehensive understanding of drivers' ability to adjust perceptual information in different contexts. Research in this area can explore the mechanism of drivers' perceptual priority adjustment in emergency situations through simulation experiments, field observations, and physiological data collection. The next step is to optimize the design of advanced driver assistance systems. This includes the development of smarter, adaptive assistance systems based on a deeper understanding of perceptual prioritization. These systems can dynamically adjust the warning priority and mode according to the driver's cognitive state, road conditions, and vehicle status to improve driving safety and comfort. Future research could also explore the application of emotion recognition technology in driving safety. Emotion recognition technology can help identify a driver's emotional state, such as anxiety, anger, or fatigue, and thus predict the driver's behavior more accurately. Combined with perceptual priority theory, more effective driver state monitoring systems can be designed to improve personalized warnings to drivers in different emotional states. Attention should also be paid to promoting the integration of interdisciplinary fields, combining knowledge from cognitive psychology, human-computer interaction, engineering technology, and other fields to fully understand the mechanisms of perceptual priority formation in driving. Such integrated research can help break down disciplinary barriers and promote cross-border innovation in the field of driving safety. Finally, in the era of Internet of Everything there is also a need for more in-depth driving behavior analysis using big data and machine learning technologies. Through the collection and analysis of a large amount of real driving data, a more comprehensive understanding of the impact of perceptual priorities on driving

behavior can be achieved, providing more reliable data support for the design of intelligent driving systems.

4.2. Prospects for the development of multi-sensory intervention techniques

The future development of multi-sensory intervention technology will focus on the combination of perception fusion technology and intelligent interaction systems. By integrating visual, auditory, tactile and other sensory modalities, a more comprehensive and intelligent perception model can be established. This will promote the ability of intelligent driving systems to adapt to complex environments and enhance the comprehensiveness of the driving experience. Secondly, more attention will also be paid to the technological innovation of cross-perception fusion. Such innovations can effectively integrate information from different perceptual channels and realize efficient and accurate perceptual fusion through advanced computational models and neural networks. Such technological advances will bring more accurate decision-making and prediction capabilities to driver assistance systems. Then Virtual Reality (VR) and Augmented Reality (AR) technologies will also be more widely used in the driving field. These technologies can provide richer and more realistic simulations of driving scenarios, helping drivers to conduct simulation training and situational rehearsals, thus improving their reaction ability and decision-making level in specific driving scenarios. Finally the development of multi-sensory intervention technologies will move towards perception enhancement and personalized interaction. By recognizing the driver's personal preferences and states, the system will provide a personalized driving experience, such as personalized alerts and interface design, as well as interaction modes based on individual habits.

Human-Machine Synergy and Seamless Integration in the future, human-machine synergy and seamless integration will be the focus of multi-sensory intervention technology. The system will be more intelligent to understand the driver's intention and needs, and realize closer interaction with the driver to provide a more efficient and safer driving experience.

Conflicts of Interest

The author declares that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this article.

Funding

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

References

- [1] Golob, T.F.; Recker, W.W.; Leonard, J.D. An analysis of the severity and incident duration of truck-involved freeway accidents. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, 1987, 19(5), 375-395.
- [2] Wiese, E.E.; Lee, J.D. Auditory alerts for in-vehicle information systems: the effects of temporal conflict and sound parameters on driver attitudes and performance. *Ergonomics*, 2004, 47(9), 965-986.

- [3] Ho, C.; Reed, N.; Spence, C. Assessing the effectiveness of “intuitive” vibrotactile warning signals in preventing front-to-rear-end collisions in a driving simulator. *Accident Analysis&Prevention*, 2006, 38(5), 988-996.
- [4] B-Wan Wu. Research on key technology of lane departure assisted driving under human-machine cooperation. Changsha: Hunan University, 2013.
- [5] Marc, G.; Sylvain, L. Structural Road Accident Models. Pergamon, 2010, 225-235.
- [6] John, M.; Sullivan, Michael, J. Flannagan. Visibility and rear-end collisions involving light vehicles and truck. the University of Michigan. 2004.
- [7] W. Wierwille, et al Research on vehicle based driver status performance monitoring: development, validation, and refinement of algorithms for detection of driver drowsiness. Washington, DC, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, 1994.
- [8] Steering Attention Monitor [EB/OL]. Available online: <http://www.carkits.com.au/index.htm> (accessed on 5 January 2024).
- [9] Li, J.W.; Cheng, B. Research on driver state-adaptive fatigue warning method. *Automotive Engineering*, 2011, 33(08), 694-700, DOI: 10.19562/j.chinasae.qcgc.2011.08.011.
- [10] Li, R.J.; Mo, Q.Y.; Zhang, Q.Q. Research on multisensory collaborative fatigue driving warning program. *Modern Electronic Technology*, 2014, 37(05), 117-120, DOI: 10.16652/j.issn.1004-373x.2014.05.006.
- [11] Xu, Y.Z. Research on multi-sensory cooperative driving takeover in human-machine co-driving mode. Hefei University of Technology, 2022, DOI: 10.27101/d.cnki.ghfgu.2021.001763.



© 2024 by the author(s); licensee International Technology and Science Publications (ITS), this work for open access publication is under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0). (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>)