

# Grassroots Governance and Social Innovation: The Driving Role of Community Participation

Qiankun Chen<sup>1\*</sup>, Gaozhaoyang Xing<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> School of Public Administration, Central University of Finance and Economics, Beijing, China

## Email Address

chenqiankuncufe@163.com (Qiankun Chen)

\*Correspondence: chenqiankuncufe@163.com

Received: 28 March 2024; Accepted: 27 May 2024; Published: 26 June 2024

## Abstract:

Governing a country for peace depends heavily on grassroots efforts. The foundation of social governance lies at the grassroots level, specifically in communities. Communities not only serve as microcosmic windows to observe the level of social governance but also as the foothold for all governance activities to be carried out smoothly. This study aims to explore the role of community participation in grassroots governance and social innovation, with a focus on the “Detroit Future City” (DFC) initiative in Detroit, USA, analyzing the impact and role of community involvement in urban planning and reconstruction processes. The research found that community participation effectively enhances citizens’ sense of involvement and belonging in urban planning and development decision-making through various forms of interaction. On this basis, community participation also promotes the stimulation of innovative thinking and the formation of new cooperation models, providing effective solutions for complex urban issues. In conclusion, the study proposes a series of policy recommendations including strengthening community participation mechanisms, building multi-sector cooperation platforms, fostering community leadership, and promoting knowledge sharing to facilitate the effective implementation of community participation and social innovation. The results are of reference value for urban planners and policy makers in designing more inclusive, responsive, and innovative urban development plans.

## Keywords:

Grassroots Governance, Social Innovation, Community Participation, Urban Planning

## 1. Introduction

In today’s society, as economic globalization, technological innovation, and social diversification continue to deepen, grassroots governance faces unprecedented challenges and opportunities. As the process where governments, communities, and citizens manage public affairs and services at the local level, grassroots governance is an important component of the social governance structure. In this process, the effectiveness of grassroots governance not only affects the daily life quality of

citizens but also plays a crucial role in the overall stability and development of society. With the rise of social innovation, the models and practices of grassroots governance are undergoing profound changes.

Social innovation refers to new strategies, concepts, ideas, or organizational models adopted to solve social problems or meet social needs. [1] These innovative activities typically aim to improve efficiency, equity, or social welfare and can include new social practices, services, policies, or organizational forms. In the context of grassroots governance, social innovation emphasizes the introduction of new governance models, increased citizen participation, and the use of new technologies to improve the quality and efficiency of governance.

In recent years, the link between grassroots governance and social innovation has increasingly attracted the attention of academics and policy makers. Innovation in grassroots governance includes not only the application of new technologies, such as e-government and data-driven decision-making processes, but also innovations in community participation and governance methods. For example, strengthening community self-governance, enhancing citizen participation, and adopting more inclusive and transparent decision-making mechanisms can all be regarded as innovative practices in grassroots governance. [2] These innovative practices play an important role in solving local issues, enhancing citizen satisfaction, and promoting community harmony.

## **2. Research Objectives and Questions**

This paper aims to explore the relationship between grassroots governance and social innovation, focusing particularly on the role of community participation in promoting governance innovation. Through specific case analyses of social innovation practices, this paper seeks to answer the following core questions: How does community participation affect the innovative process of grassroots governance? What role does community participation play in the innovation of grassroots governance? And how do these innovative practices impact the welfare of communities and citizens?

The exploration of these questions is of certain reference significance for understanding and improving current practices of grassroots governance. As the social environment rapidly changes, traditional governance models face various challenges, including uneven resource distribution, inefficient information transmission, and diversification of citizen needs. [3] Therefore, studying social innovation in grassroots governance, especially the role of community participation, is valuable for promoting modernization of governance models and improving governance quality.

## **3. Literature Review**

### ***3.1. Theoretical Background of Grassroots Governance)***

Grassroots governance, as a core concept in the field of public management, involves the joint participation of governments, communities, and their residents in managing public affairs at the most basic level. [4] The academic community recognizes that “governance is omnipresent,” and grassroots governance plays an indelible role in providing public services, community development, and protecting citizen rights. Strengthening the governance system, invigorating grassroots governance, and focusing on collaborative governance among multiple entities have

become the demands of the times and important objectives for community governance, representing both opportunities and challenges in optimizing grassroots work services. In recent years, with the development of governance theory, the focus of grassroots governance has shifted from a traditional government-led model to one that emphasizes citizen participation and collaborative governance among multiple entities. As Tan Xiaoyan (2020) pointed out, to construct a new grassroots governance pattern and achieve effective integration between government governance and resident autonomy in practice, new institutional integration and safeguard mechanisms are essential at this stage. [5] This is an important topic for addressing the difficulties in grassroots governance. Song Laili (2023) also emphasized that governance is an “autonomous system of self-organized networks,” highlighting the interactions and cooperation among networks. [6]

In the context of grassroots governance, Ostrom’s “multi-level governance” theory provides an analytical framework for understanding and explaining how local governments, non-governmental organizations, and citizens collaborate at multiple levels to address common problems. [7] Chen Jiagang (2015) argued that grassroots democracy is the core of grassroots governance, and its approach involves using power to guide, control, and regulate various social activities to maximize the protection of public interests at the national level. Active and proactive participation of residents in grassroots governance is an important work goal of social work, making a unique contribution to the construction of a social governance community. Therefore, the objectives, institutional construction, and practices of grassroots governance have profound significance for national development. [8]

### ***3.2. The Concept and Scope of Social Innovation***

Social innovation, as an interdisciplinary field, involves introducing new ideas, practices, policies, and models to solve social problems and meet social needs.<sup>[9]</sup> Lauren T et al. (2022) defined social innovation as “new social relationships or collaborative models that meet social needs,” with the core being creatively solving social problems.<sup>[10]</sup> According to some scholars, social innovation not only includes product and technology innovation but also new business models, work processes, and social interaction methods.

The scope and applications of social innovation are very broad, including but not limited to educational innovation, healthcare, environmental protection, community development, and public policy. As Johanna M et al. (2023) stated, social innovation focuses on changing social practices to address social challenges, especially those that traditional markets or government institutions have difficulty effectively addressing. [11] Michael P et al. (2023) focused on how social entrepreneurs break away from the constraints of the third sector, designing and disseminating social innovations, conceiving, creating, and developing non-profit organizations in novel and innovative ways to create large-scale social value and bring about beneficial social changes. [12] In the realm of grassroots social innovation, the intrinsic matching of various institutional systems in different community grassroots communities is insufficient, and the functional division and cooperative patterns of different systems have not yet formed. To achieve “integration,” it is necessary to integrate various institutional systems, clarify their nature and functional responsibilities, and delineate the relationships between different systems to construct a complete, unified, and mutually reinforcing “integrated” innovative autonomous institutional system.

### ***3.3. The Role of Community Participation in Grassroots Governance***

Community participation plays a crucial role in grassroots governance. It not only improves the transparency and legitimacy of policy decision-making but also helps enhance the quality and efficiency of policies and services. Through community participation, citizens can directly influence the decision-making processes in their daily lives. This participation can take various forms, including but not limited to community hearings, community planning meetings, and online public consultations. With the introduction of concepts such as people-centeredness, whole-process people's democracy, modernization of grassroots governance, and joint construction, joint governance, and sharing in the new era, the political practice of the new era has promoted new developments in grassroots public participation while also setting new requirements for grassroots public participation. Su Chunzhao (2023) pointed out that good resident participation is essential for the healthy development of urban communities. Resident participation, as an important carrier of social governance and a crucial support for grassroots community governance, can meet the diverse needs of the public for the community and form a good social interaction and participation order. [13] Hou Jialing (2023) believes that the social relationship network between different entities is constantly evolving new functional characteristics, and new interaction mechanisms are also continuously generated within the community governance structure framework. [14] The key to effective resident participation is to transform traditional management concepts and methods, as well as to change residents' cognition and experience of community public affairs, forming a mutually beneficial interaction between the two sides to enhance social cohesion and promote effective governance.

### ***3.4. The Relationship Between Community Participation and Social Innovation***

There is a close relationship between community participation and social innovation. Community participation is not only an important component of social innovation but also often acts as a catalyst for social innovation in many cases. Research suggests that social innovation often originates at the community level, with citizens' direct participation and creative solutions addressing local issues. Community participation provides a platform for experimentation and practice, allowing innovation to be adjusted and optimized according to specific community needs and conditions.

In the process of social innovation, community participation promotes dialogue and cooperation among multiple stakeholders. This participation not only involves community members but also includes local governments, non-governmental organizations, businesses, and other social groups. This cross-sectoral and multi-level cooperation provides a broader perspective and resources for solving complex social issues. Jiang Dan (2020) pointed out that localized community participation governance theory appears timely and necessary in urban communities, with its value core and social governance innovation needs being particularly aligned. Community participation governance is not only a concept construction but also a mechanism construction as a tool, representing a perfect integration of value rationality and instrumental rationality, and is a powerful promoter of realizing the practical needs of social governance innovation. [15]

Overall, the current research directions in domestic community governance theory mainly focus on government decentralization, strengthening the service function of the government, focusing on the diversification of community governance entities,

and promoting regular participation of community residents. There is less research introducing interactive governance models into community governance, with more discussions on the interactions among various actors within the community. Interactive governance, as an emerging new management model, is not yet mature in China. Based on a summary and analysis of existing relevant literature, this study adopts the perspective of interactive participatory governance to discover the role of community participation in grassroots governance and social innovation. This role is not only reflected in the direct participation in decision-making processes but also in solving social problems and improving the quality of life through innovative practices. In this process, the active participation of community members, the cooperation of multiple entities, and the sharing of knowledge are key to achieving effective governance and continuous innovation. Through this approach, grassroots governance and social innovation promote each other, jointly advancing the sustainable development of communities and society.

#### **4. Research Methods**

This paper intends to use qualitative research methods to deeply explore and understand the complex relationship between grassroots governance and social innovation, particularly the role of community participation in this process. By delving into the perspectives, experiences, and perceptions of community members, policymakers, and practitioners, supplemented by literature mining and record analysis, this study aims to better understand the research topic and reveal how community participation influences the innovative practices of grassroots governance, and how these practices, in turn, shape community structure and functions. Specific research methods are as follows:

##### ***4.1. Semi-structured Interviews***

The author plans to conduct semi-structured interviews with policymakers, neighborhood committee staff, community resident representatives, social organization members, and other stakeholders. These interviews will focus on their experiences, perceptions, and attitudes in the process of grassroots governance and community participation. Interview questions will be designed as open-ended to collect in-depth insights and detailed information.

##### ***4.2. Focus Group Discussions***

Based on the interviews, respondents from different communities and backgrounds will be organized into focus groups to ensure the diversity and comprehensiveness of the data. Through in-depth discussions, the text materials from interview records and focus group discussions will be content-analyzed to identify and interpret patterns, themes, and categories related to the research topic, helping to understand the views and reactions of different groups within the community towards grassroots governance and social innovation.

##### ***4.3. Case Study***

This paper will select the community participation and social innovation case of Detroit, USA, as a specific case study to illustrate the theoretical concepts and dynamics in practical application, analyzing the specific practices and effectiveness of community participation and social innovation in the case.

## **5. Analysis of the Current State of Community Participation**

### ***5.1. Forms and Characteristics of Community Participation***

Community participation, as a form of grassroots democracy, takes various forms, ranging from traditional community meetings to innovative methods using modern technological means. In the most traditional forms, community participation is often achieved through face-to-face meetings, community hearings, and public community events, where residents directly express their opinions and needs. These methods allow community members to interact directly with decision-makers, providing direct feedback and suggestions for community issues.

With the development of technology, the forms of community participation are also constantly innovating. Social media platforms, mobile applications, WeChat public accounts, and other digital tools are increasingly used to facilitate community participation. These digital tools make participation easier and more convenient, especially for residents who are unable to attend traditional meetings due to time or geographic constraints. Additionally, they provide a platform for a wider and more diverse range of views, increasing the inclusivity and diversity of community participation.

While diversifying in form, community participation also exhibits its unique characteristics. First, it is typically bottom-up, initiated and organized spontaneously by community members. This spontaneous nature ensures that the participation process is closer to the real needs and expectations of residents. Second, community participation emphasizes equality and openness, where any community member has the opportunity to express their views, regardless of their socioeconomic status. Finally, community participation is often closely related to local issues, helping to overcome community governance dilemmas and handle diverse conflicts within the community.

### ***5.2. Challenges and Opportunities of Community Participation***

Although community participation is widely regarded as an ideal governance method in theory, it faces a series of challenges in practice. First, the imbalance in community participation. Often, a portion of community members participate more actively than others, which may lead to the neglect of certain groups' needs and opinions. Additionally, resource constraints, such as funding, time, and expertise, often become factors limiting community participation.

However, these challenges also bring opportunities. In current community governance, the enthusiasm and degree of participation among community residents also greatly affect the effectiveness of community governance. Active resident participation helps express and fulfill residents' own needs and contributes to achieving community harmony and governance, ultimately forming a community governance pattern of joint construction, joint governance, and sharing. For example, the imbalance in participation prompts community organizers to seek more inclusive participation methods, such as using online media participation platforms to attract young people or busy residents. The challenge of resource constraints also inspires exploration of resource sharing and community cooperation, which can be addressed by establishing community cooperative organizations or partnerships. The study of community participation practices in Detroit, USA, aims to explore suitable choices

that align with the current development context of urban community governance in China.

### ***5.3. “Detroit Future City”: A Case Study of Community Participation and Social Innovation in Detroit, USA***

Detroit, once the heartland of American industry and automobile manufacturing, has faced a series of challenges brought by rapid urbanization, including uneven resource allocation, environmental issues, and social inequality. After experiencing prolonged economic decline and population loss, a large number of vacant houses and dilapidated communities have also accumulated economic and social problems. In this context, the “Detroit Future City” project was launched in 2012, earning acclaim for its visionary new perspective on old industrial cities and tremendous efforts to include ordinary citizens in future planning discussions. In this Motor City, where rubber meets the road, citizens, planners, developers, and civic leaders are implementing strategies to “reuse vacant land and buildings, curb sprawl and disinvestment, and revitalize distressed communities.” As residents participate as equal partners in this process, Detroit’s future depends on everyone’s collaborative efforts. The DFC project, as an urban reconstruction and planning framework, is characterized by its emphasis on extensive community participation, including public forums, community workshops, and online surveys, serving as a long-term planning framework with the goal of reshaping Detroit’s future. [16]

The DFC project’s notable feature is its emphasis on extensive community participation. The implementation of the project involves various forms of community interaction, including:

**Community Workshops:** Provide an interactive platform for residents, property owners, and community organizations to directly participate in discussions about urban planning. At these meetings, participants can express their ideas and needs for future urban spaces, transportation, housing, and public facilities.

**Public Forums:** DFC organized a series of public forums inviting residents, experts, and policymakers to discuss key issues facing Detroit. These forums not only offer opportunities for information sharing but also promote dialogue and understanding among different stakeholders.

**Online Surveys:** To involve more residents who cannot attend in person, the project also employs online surveys. These surveys aim to collect a wide range of public opinions, ensuring that the project planning reflects the diverse perspectives of the entire city.

The DFC project embodies the characteristics of social innovation in several aspects. By combining offline activities and online platforms, it ensures the participation of residents from different backgrounds and age groups. This approach not only enhances the breadth of participation but also increases the project's inclusiveness and representativeness; it emphasizes using local residents’ knowledge and experience to guide urban planning. This practice highlights the importance of residents' everyday life experiences, ensuring that planning solutions are close to practical needs. It encourages cooperation between different sectors and organizations, including government agencies, private companies, and non-profit organizations. This cross-sectoral cooperation helps integrate different resources and expertise, forming comprehensive solutions.

The role of community participation in promoting social innovation is also reflected in the DFC project in the following aspects:

First, achieving sustainability goals. Community participation helps enhance the sustainability of urban planning and development projects. In Detroit, residents' participation ensures that urban revitalization projects focus not only on short-term economic benefits but also consider long-term goals such as environmental protection, social justice, and cultural heritage preservation. For example, community gardens and green spaces not only improve the urban landscape but also provide sustainable food sources and ecosystem services.

Second, responding to specific community needs. Through community participation, the DFC project can more accurately respond to the specific needs of certain communities. This ground-based approach ensures the relevance and effectiveness of solutions. For example, in response to specific safety issues in certain communities, residents collaborate with local police to design suitable crime prevention strategies.

Third, enhancing governance transparency and accountability. Community participation increases the transparency of the governance process and the public's accountability for governments and their partners. In the DFC project, government departments and other organizations not only need to report progress to community members but also respond to their feedback. This open and interactive governance model enhances the project's credibility and success rate.

Fourth, fostering community leadership and capacity building. Community participation is also an effective process for community leadership and capacity building. In the DFC project, residents have opportunities to learn new skills, such as project management, public speaking, and negotiation skills. This not only aids personal development but also strengthens the community's ability to face future challenges.

Detroit's DFC project is a breakthrough case of urban planning and reconstruction, demonstrating how community participation and social innovation can combine to reshape the future of a city. Its implementation has brought significant positive impacts to Detroit. On one hand, the project has helped boost residents' confidence and willingness to participate in the city's future, strengthening community cohesion. On the other hand, the planning solutions formed through community participation are more aligned with actual needs, helping to promote sustainable urban development. Its successful experience also shows that through active community participation and innovative planning methods, even cities facing severe challenges can find a path to sustainable development. This case provides valuable experience and inspiration for other cities, encouraging and guiding community participation and social innovation practices in other cities, demonstrating the key role of community participation in urban revitalization.

#### **5.4. Case Summary**

This study focuses on the role of community participation in grassroots governance and social innovation, revealing through an in-depth analysis of Detroit's DFC project the multiple values and impacts of community participation. The research found that community participation not only enhances citizens' sense of involvement and belonging in the urban planning and development process but also helps foster a more comprehensive understanding of residents, thereby adopting more effective community work methods, cultivating residents' participation capabilities, and

promoting the creation of a more harmonious and healthy community cultural environment.

The DFC project effectively attracted a wide range of community members to participate through organizing workshops, forums, and online surveys. This participation not only provided a rich variety of opinions and suggestions but also promoted communication and understanding among residents of different backgrounds. The result of community participation is urban planning that is closer to residents' needs, more sustainable, and more inclusive. Its role in grassroots governance is multifaceted. Not only does it enhance the transparency and democracy of policy formulation and implementation, making government decisions more reflective of community actual needs and expectations, but it also strengthens citizens' capabilities and confidence, promoting residents' integration into their communities and cities.

In terms of social innovation, community participation has been proven to be a key factor in promoting new thinking and innovative solutions. By absorbing direct feedback and suggestions from community residents, the DFC project can develop more innovative and effective urban development strategies, not only solving specific urban issues but also providing a strategic action framework to guide reform directions, achieving community overall well-being and sustainable development.

## **6. Policy Recommendations and Research Summary**

### ***6.1. Policy Recommendations***

The success of the DFC project demonstrates the importance of diversified community participation methods for urban planning. Through workshops, forums, and online surveys, the plan effectively attracted a wide range of community members to participate, ensuring that residents from different backgrounds and needs could have their voices heard. This inclusive and open participation method provides a wealth of perspectives and suggestions for urban planning, making urban development strategies more aligned with residents' actual needs. Based on the findings of this study, the following policy recommendations and practical implications are proposed:

First, strengthen community participation mechanisms. Governments and urban planners should create and enhance mechanisms for community participation, ensuring that residents can effectively participate in urban planning and development decision-making. This includes providing multiple channels of participation, ensuring that residents from different backgrounds and needs can have their voices heard.

Second, build multi-sector cooperation platforms. Promote cooperation among governments, businesses, and non-governmental organizations to jointly support community participation projects. This cross-sector cooperation can integrate different resources and expertise, enhancing the effectiveness and innovativeness of community projects.

Third, cultivate community leadership. Through education and training programs, enhance the leadership and participation capabilities of community workers. Strengthening the capacity building of community workers helps increase their influence and contribution during the participation process.

Fourth, promote knowledge sharing and learning. Encourage the sharing of experiences and knowledge among communities, enhancing local community participation and social innovation effects through learning from others' successful cases and lessons.

Fifth, strengthen technological support and the use of innovative tools. To more effectively promote community participation, governments and related organizations should strengthen online media integration, making it more convenient for residents to participate in discussions and decision-making processes. Additionally, innovative technologies such as data analysis and geographic information systems can be used to better understand community needs and optimize service layouts.

Sixth, strengthen community infrastructure construction. Enhance community infrastructure, such as community centers, parks, and recreational facilities, which not only improve residents' quality of life but also serve as centers for community activities and participation. By improving infrastructure, governments can provide spaces for communities to gather, communicate, and cooperate.

Seventh, support local initiatives and entrepreneurial spirit. Encourage and support local initiatives and entrepreneurship within the community, such as through microloans, training programs, and market access support. These measures can stimulate the innovative potential within the community while helping address local economic and social issues.

Eighth, emphasize the protection of community culture and history. In urban development and planning, the protection of community culture and historical heritage should be valued. This not only helps maintain the uniqueness and diversity of the community but also enhances residents' sense of belonging and pride in their community.

Through these strategies and measures, the effectiveness and impact of community participation can be further enhanced, promoting effective implementation of social innovation and grassroots governance. This not only helps address current urban issues but also paves the way for building more inclusive, innovative, and sustainable communities and cities.

## **6.2. Research Summary**

This study deeply explores the role of community participation in grassroots governance and social innovation, using the “Detroit Future City” (DFC) plan in Detroit, USA, as a case study to show how community participation effectively promotes urban revitalization and sustainable development. The research found that community participation not only enhances the transparency and democracy of policy-making but also fosters the capacity building and social cohesion of community members, stimulates innovative thinking, and promotes cross-sector cooperation and knowledge sharing, providing effective solutions for complex urban issues. By strengthening community participation, effective urban sustainable development and social innovation can be promoted.

However, due to personal knowledge limitations and time constraints, this paper also has some flaws. First, the research mainly focuses on a specific case—the DFC project in Detroit, which may not fully generalize the diversity and complexity of community participation in other regions or different types of cities. Second, because qualitative research methods are used, there is a lack of quantitative assessment of the

effects of community participation, and the accuracy of the research needs to be improved.

Overall, the results of this study are helpful for policymakers and urban planners in designing and implementing urban development plans, emphasizing and utilizing the power of community participation. By encouraging broader and more effective citizen participation, urban planning can be made more inclusive, responsive, and innovative, fully mobilizing residents' initiative and subjectivity in community governance, greatly stimulating community vitality, reshaping the new community relationships between the party, government, and society, and achieving a healthy interaction between government governance and resident autonomy.

The modernization of grassroots governance systems and capabilities requires the construction of a community governance community of joint construction, joint governance, and sharing. The unique value of whole-process people's democracy lies in practicing people-centered values, achieving more substantial democratic substance and more diverse democratic forms. In the future, community participation and social innovation should be regarded as key components of urban development, receiving more attention and investment. How to combine institutional coherence, continuity, gradual progress, and diversity to promote community participation and resident autonomy is the next research topic. Through continuous research, practice, and policy innovation, the role of community participation can be further enhanced, improving grassroots governance efficiency, activating social vitality, forming a good social interaction and participation order, and becoming a powerful force for promoting sustainable urban development.

## Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this article.

## Funding

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

## References

- [1] Chen, J.; Yang, P.; Chen, Z. Analysis of the Current Status and Issues of Policies Promoting Social Innovation in China. *Global Science and Technology Economic Outlook*, 2021, 36(02), 65-72.
- [2] Jin, Q. Innovation in Resident Participation and Community Autonomy Normalization Mechanisms in Shanghai's Grassroots Communities. *Science Development*, 2018, 08, 97-107.
- [3] Chen, J.; Bi, T. Review of Traditional Social Grassroots Governance Models. *Study Theory*, 2018, 12, 103-105.
- [4] Yang, J.; Ji, X. The Historical Changes and Theoretical Construction of Contemporary Chinese Grassroots Governance—Based on the Practice and Reflection of Urban Grassroots Governance. *Studies of Mao Zedong and Deng Xiaoping Theories*, 2017, 02, 41-46+108.

- [5] Tan, X. Community-Based Participatory Governance: Urban Grassroots Governance Innovation from an Institutionalism Perspective. *New Horizons*, 2020, 03, 80-87.
- [6] Song, L. Study on the Community Participation Behavior of Urban Residents and Its Influence Mechanism from a Collaborative Governance Perspective [Dissertation]. 2023. Ludong University. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.27216/d.cnki.gysfc.2023.000346>.
- [7] Ostrom, E. Governing the Commons: The Evolution of Institutions for Collective Action. Cambridge University Press. 1990.
- [8] Chen, J. Grassroots Governance: The Logic and Path of Transformational Development. *Learning and Exploration*, 2015, 02, 47-55.
- [9] Morgan, J.; Wilkie, N.; Tucker, S., et al. Silicon Valley of Society: The Occurrence and Development of Social Innovation. *Comparative Economic & Social Systems*, 2006, 05, 1-12.
- [10] Lauren, T.; Julie, R.; Geoff, W. A Spectrum of Open Social Innovation Within Social Enterprise. *VOLUNTAS: International Journal of Voluntary and Nonprofit Organizations*, 2022, 34(4), 799-812.
- [11] Johanna, M.; Thomas, G.; Laura, T., et al. Pathways and Mechanisms for Catalyzing Social Impact through Orchestration: Insights from an Open Social Innovation Project. *Journal of Business Venturing Insights*, 2023, 19.
- [12] Michael, P.; Nicholas, W.; Charles, H., et al. Strategic Sensemaking by Social Entrepreneurs: Creating Strategies for Social Innovation. *International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behavior & Research*, 2023, 29(11), 292-311.
- [13] Su, C. Study on Resident Participation in Urban Community Governance [Dissertation]. Northwest Normal University. 2023. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.27410/d.cnki.gxbfu.2023.000574>.
- [14] Hou, J. From “Fragmented Governance” to “Interactive Co-Governance”: A Study on the Pathways of Resident Participation in Community Governance [Dissertation]. Nanchang University. 2023. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.27232/d.cnki.gnchu.2023.001639>
- [15] Jiang, D. Study on Interactive Governance in Chinese Urban Communities [Dissertation]. 2020, Jilin University. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.27162/d.cnki.gjlin.2020.000655>
- [16] J, G.; Zhang, Q.; Liu, B. Motor City—The Past and Future of Detroit. *Journal of Urban Planning*, 2015, 03), 120-122.



© 2024 by the author(s); licensee International Technology and Science Publications (ITS), this work for open access publication is under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0). (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>)