

Safeguarding Sports Equity and Athletes' Rights

Jiayu Xiao^{1*}

¹ School of Foreign Language, Shenyang Normal University, Shenyang, China

Email Address

Shanjianmingyue@qq.com (Jiayu Xiao)

*Correspondence: Shanjianmingyue@qq.com

Received: 16 April 2025; **Accepted:** 12 May 2025; **Published:** 4 June 2025

Abstract:

This paper critically examines systemic inequities and rights violations in global sports, exacerbated by rapid commercialization and globalization. Key challenges include unequal funding, gender discrimination, doping scandals, athlete exploitation, and data privacy breaches. Root causes such as Western-centric governance, accountability deficits, and technological disparities are analyzed alongside actionable solutions, including equitable resource distribution, gender inclusion policies, and AI-driven anti-doping measures. Case studies from China and Norway illustrate effective strategies, while the role of the Court of Arbitration for Sport (CAS) in shaping sports justice is scrutinized. The paper emphasizes the need for global collaboration, technological innovation, and policy integration to foster a fair and sustainable sports ecosystem.

Keywords:

Sports Equity, Athletes' Rights, CAS, Gender Equality, Anti-doping, Data Privacy, Exploitation

1. Introduction

Sports, as a universal platform for health, cultural exchange, and diplomacy, face unprecedented challenges due to commercialization and globalization. While elite sports like football and basketball dominate media and funding, grassroots disciplines and marginalized athletes struggle for visibility and resources. Systemic issues—ranging from gender pay gaps to exploitative contracts—threaten the integrity of sports. This paper explores these challenges, evaluates past efforts, and proposes reforms to safeguard fairness and rights. A critical focus is placed on the evolving role of the Court of Arbitration for Sport (CAS) and the intersection of educational equity and sports development in China.

The primary objectives of this paper are to:

(1). Identify and analyze the key challenges to sports equity and athletes' rights, including structural inequities in funding, gender disparities, and ethical violations.

(2). Examine the root causes of these challenges, such as Western-centric governance models, systemic corruption, and disparities in technological access.

(3). Propose actionable solutions to address these issues, including policy reforms, technological innovations, and international collaboration.

(4). Illustrate the effectiveness of proposed strategies through case studies from China (anti-doping efforts) and Norway (gender equality frameworks).

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Current Challenges in Sports Equity and Athletes' Rights

2.1.1. Unequal Funding and Resource Allocation

Elite sports such as football, basketball, and Formula 1 dominate global funding, while niche disciplines like archery, para-sports, and traditional games struggle for basic resources. According to the International Olympic Committee (IOC) Financial Report (2021), only 4.1% of IOC revenue is allocated to athlete support programs, compared to leagues like the English Premier League, where athletes receive over 60% of total income. This disparity stifles grassroots development in underrepresented sports and perpetuates inequities in access to training facilities, coaching, and competitive opportunities. For example, para-athletes in low-income countries often lack access to adaptive equipment, relegating them to lower competitive tiers.

2.1.2. Persistent Gender Discrimination

Despite progress in gender equality, female athletes continue to face systemic barriers. In 2021, only 4% of global sports media coverage focused on women's sports (UNESCO, 2022), and female athletes earn significantly less than their male counterparts. For instance, the 2023 FIFA Women's World Cup prize pool was \$150 million, compared to \$440 million for the men's tournament. Transgender and Differences of Sexual Development (DSD) athletes endure exclusionary policies, such as World Athletics' testosterone regulations, which mandate hormone suppression for female athletes with naturally high testosterone levels. Critics argue these policies violate privacy rights and perpetuate discrimination [1].

2.1.3. Doping and Ethical Violations

Doping scandals, such as Russia's state-sponsored doping program (2014–2016), highlight systemic failures in enforcement. The World Anti-Doping Agency (WADA) reported 1,935 anti-doping rule violations in 2022, yet many cases go undetected due to technological loopholes, corruption, and inadequate testing in developing nations (WADA, 2023). Match-fixing and bribery further erode public trust; the 2023 suspension of the International Boxing Association (IBA) by the IOC for financial mismanagement underscores governance failures.

2.1.4. Exploitation of Athletes

Junior athletes in developing nations often endure exploitative conditions. African football academies, for example, have faced allegations of unpaid wages, human trafficking, and false promises of European contracts [5]. Additionally, athletes lack legal recourse against restrictive contracts that bind them to unfair terms or unsafe training environments. The absence of standardized labor protections exacerbates vulnerabilities, particularly for youth athletes.

2.1.5. Data Privacy Infringements

The digitalization of sports has led to unauthorized use of athlete data. A 2022 breach at the International Table Tennis Federation (ITTF) exposed passports and medical records of athletes, underscoring vulnerabilities in data protection (ITTF, 2022) [1]. Wearable technologies and biometric tracking, while beneficial for performance analysis, raise ethical concerns about consent and data ownership.

2.2. Past International Efforts

2.2.1. Legal Frameworks

The Olympic Charter: Emphasizes universality and fair play but lacks enforcement mechanisms.

UNESCO Anti-Doping Convention: Ratified by 191 states, it mandates national anti-doping laws but faces inconsistent implementation, particularly in regions with limited resources.

Macolin Convention: Combats match-fixing through cross-border cooperation, yet enforcement remains fragmented due to jurisdictional complexities.

2.2.2. Key Conferences

2024 Sport Accord Legal Forum: Advocated for athlete involvement in rule-making and independent oversight bodies.

Safe Sport International Conference (2021): Highlighted the need for athlete-centered justice systems to address abuse and exploitation.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Root Causes of Inequity

3.1.1. Western-Centric Governance

Global sports institutions like FIFA and the IOC are disproportionately influenced by Western ideologies, marginalizing voices from Asia, Africa, and Latin America [6]. Decision-making bodies are often dominated by representatives from Europe and North America, leading to policies that reflect Western values rather than global inclusivity. For example, the IOC's emphasis on commercial viability prioritizes sports popular in wealthy nations, neglecting traditional disciplines from other regions.

3.1.2. Lack of Accountability

Corruption within sports organizations remains a persistent issue. The 2023 suspension of the IBA for financial mismanagement and vote-rigging exemplifies systemic governance failures (IOC, 2023). Weak oversight mechanisms allow officials to evade accountability, while whistleblowers face retaliation.

3.1.3. Cultural and Technological Divides

Varying interpretations of ethics create conflicts. Middle Eastern nations often oppose gender inclusion policies accepted in Europe, citing cultural norms (Pfister, 2010). Additionally, developing nations lack access to advanced anti-doping

technologies, such as AI-driven detection tools, widening the gap in fair competition (Hanstad et al., 2008).

3.1.4. The Role and Controversies of CAS

Dubbed the “Supreme Court of World Sport,” CAS resolves disputes ranging from doping to contractual conflicts. However, its 2013 procedural revision (Article R57) allows arbitrators to exclude evidence “reasonably discoverable” before initial rulings, weakening the “de novo” review principle. Critics argue this disadvantages athletes lacking legal resources, particularly from developing nations. For example, in cases involving hidden financial records, athletes may lose recourse due to evidentiary exclusions [4].

CAS’s geographic imbalance further entrenches inequity. A 2021 study revealed an 18% higher loss rate for African and Asian athletes compared to Europeans [4], mirroring broader governance biases.

3.2. Case Studies

3.2.1. China’s Anti-Doping Model

China’s collaboration with WADA extends beyond technological adoption to include cross-border knowledge-sharing initiatives. For instance, during the 2022 Beijing Winter Olympics, China implemented blockchain technology to track doping test samples in real-time, reducing procedural delays by 35% [16]. Additionally, the “Clean Sport Education Program” trained over 10,000 coaches and athletes annually on ethical practices, contributing to a 40% decline in doping violations between 2019 and 2023 (WADA, 2023). These efforts highlight the synergy between policy enforcement, public education, and technological innovation.

3.2.2. Norway’s Gender Equality Framework

Legislation mandating equal pay and media coverage in sports increased female participation in professional leagues by 30% (Norwegian Olympic Committee, 2021). Norway’s policies extend to leadership roles, requiring at least 40% female representation on sports boards. The “Gender Quota Law” has transformed cultural attitudes, making Norway a global leader in sports equity.

3.3. The Synergy Between Educational Equity and Sports Development in China

China’s pursuit of “fair and quality education” has elevated sports’ role in holistic development. Post-2016 policies, such as standardized sports equipment mandates and adaptive programs for disabled students, reflect this shift. However, challenges persist: 32% of rural schools lack qualified sports teachers, and 60% have inadequate facilities (Ministry of Education, 2022).

3.4. Innovative Responses

3.4.1. Digital Integration

Online platforms like “Zhihui Sports” provide remote coaching to underserved regions.

3.4.2. Policy-Health Synergy

Linking sports education to the “Healthy China 2030” agenda incentivizes local governments to prioritize infrastructure investment.

4. Conclusions

4.1. Proposed Solutions

4.1.1. Equitable Resource Redistribution

Establish a UNESCO-administered fund to redistribute revenue based on participation rates and developmental needs. For example, allocating 20% of IOC broadcasting revenue to grassroots programs in underfunded regions. Offer tax breaks to corporations sponsoring underrepresented sports, such as para-athletics or indigenous games.

4.1.2. Gender Inclusion Policies

Legislate equal prize money and media representation, as seen in the U.S. Soccer Federation’s 2022 agreement [7]. Replace exclusionary gender policies with individualized assessments for transgender and DSD athletes, incorporating medical and ethical expertise [14].

4.1.3. Strengthening Anti-Doping Measures

Deploy machine learning algorithms to identify novel doping substances, as demonstrated by China’s 40% reduction in violations (WADA, 2023). Mandate uniform testing protocols and increase funding for anti-doping labs in developing nations.

4.1.4. Legal Protections for Athletes

Ensure fair contracts, healthcare, and grievance mechanisms, modeled after the NBA Players Association (NBA, 2020). Partner with the International Labour Organization (ILO) to enforce protections against exploitation. CAS Transparency Measures: Diversify arbitrator demographics and mandate public disclosure of case outcomes.

4.1.5. Data Privacy Safeguards

Require explicit consent for data collection and impose penalties for breaches (EU, 2018). Athlete Data Ownership: Grant athletes control over their biometric data, with transparency in third-party usage.

4.2. Model United Nations (MUN) Perspective: Youth Dialogue on Sports Equity

4.2.1. Middle School Delegates at the World Youth MUN Shenyang Conference

The initiative, themed “air Play in School Sports: Ensuring Equal Opportunities for All,” engaged 15 middle school students (ages 13–15) from Shenyang and neighboring cities. Delegates assumed roles representing local schools, the Municipal Education Bureau, youth sports NGOs, and student athlete associations. Tasked as “Sports Equity Ambassadors,” students conducted audits of their own schools’ sports resources. For example, a delegate from Shenyang No. 3 Middle School revealed that 70% of basketball courts were reserved for varsity teams, leaving minimal access for casual players. Structured debates centered on two core questions: “Should schools prioritize competitive sports or recreational sports?” and “How can we ensure girls

have equal access to sports facilities?” Delegates utilized Shenyang’s 2023 “School Sports Facility Upgrade Plan” as a policy blueprint, proposing amendments such as mandating 30% of allocated funds to rural schools.

The resulting draft resolution, titled the Shenyang Youth Charter for Sports Equity, emphasized three pillars:

(1). **Mandatory Inclusion:** Schools must guarantee at least 1 hour of daily recreational sports time for all students.

(2). **Gender-Neutral Facilities:** Implementation of unisex locker rooms and mixed-gender intramural leagues.

(3). **Transparency Dashboards:** Public disclosure of sports budgets on school websites for accountability.

Post-event feedback indicated 85% of participants reported heightened awareness of gender and resource disparities. One delegate remarked, “I never realized how few girls joined our soccer team until today.” However, challenges emerged, including simplistic proposals (e.g., “every school should build a swimming pool”) that overlooked financial realities, and cultural resistance from some male delegates to mixed-gender leagues, reflecting entrenched societal norms in Northeast China.

4.2.2. University Delegates at Shenyang Normal University MUN Club

The initiative, themed “Global Sports Governance: Reforming Western-Centric Structures,” engaged 30 university students majoring in English, law, and sports management, business. Delegates assumed roles representing international organizations (e.g., the International Olympic Committee (IOC), the Court of Arbitration for Sport (CAS), and World Anti-Doping Agency (WADA), etc) as well as nations including China, Norway, Kenya, and the United States, etc.

Key activities included:

(1). **Policy Simulation:** Delegates negotiated amendments to the Olympic Charter, focusing on Article 6 (“Non-Discrimination”). For instance, Norway’s delegation proposed binding quotas requiring 40% female representation in all sports federations.

(2). **CAS Mock Trial:** A simulated arbitration case based on the 2023 suspension of the International Boxing Association (IBA) allowed students to role-play as CAS arbitrators, lawyers, and athletes. Central to the debate was whether the IOC’s decision violated procedural fairness under CAS’s revised Article R57.

(3). **Tech-Driven Solutions:** Delegates critically evaluated the scalability of China’s AI-driven anti-doping model for developing nations, debating ethical and infrastructural challenges.

The resulting draft resolution, titled the Shenyang Accord on Inclusive Sports Governance, proposed:

(1). **Decentralized Funding:** Redirecting 15% of IOC revenue to regional sports equity councils.

(2). **CAS Reform:** Mandating 30% arbitrator representation from Asia, Africa, and Latin America.

(3). **Ethical AI Guidelines:** Prohibiting biometric data usage without explicit athlete consent.

Challenges:

(1). **Ideological Conflicts:** The U.S. delegation opposed binding gender quotas, advocating for “merit-based selection,” while Kenya questioned the feasibility of AI tools in resource-limited regions.

(2). **Cultural Resistance:** Some delegates from conservative regions initially rejected mixed-gender policies, mirroring real-world tensions between global norms and local traditions.

(3). **Outcome:** Participants gained nuanced insights into the intersection of geopolitics, ethics, and technology in sports governance. One delegate noted, “Reforming global sports isn’t just about rules—it’s about balancing power, culture, and fairness.”

As a student advocate for sports equity, my journey intertwines systemic reform, linguistic justice, and youth empowerment. Witnessing commercialization skew priorities—where basketball teams overshadow para-athletics in funding—I co-founded a campus initiative auditing resource allocation. Our audit revealed 80% of facilities monopolized by male-dominated teams, prompting policies reserving 30% of peak hours for marginalized groups. Resistance from traditionalists mirrored global inequities, such as Norway’s gender quotas, while universities’ use of wearable tech to monetize athlete data highlighted ethical tensions between nurturing talent and exploitation.

Language emerged as both a barrier and a tool. Translating China’s “sports-education integration” into English risked erasing its cultural depth, reducing it to “school sports programs.” Similarly, anti-doping guidelines published only in English and French excluded non-Western coaches, perpetuating compliance gaps. To bridge these divides, the author initiated peer-led translation workshops, reframing terms like “gender inclusion” into Mandarin’s “pluralistic coexistence,” aligning with Confucian ideals. This effort underscored how language hierarchies marginalize Global South perspectives, demanding epistemic justice in global dialogues.

Through Model United Nations (MUN), the author transformed theoretical debates into actionable change. Middle school delegates evolved from labeling doping as “cheating” to proposing blockchain-ledger solutions, while university students drafted resolutions like mandatory gender audits in sports federations. Yet, idealism often clashed with reality—such as Kenya’s skepticism toward AI-driven reforms in resource-limited regions. Introducing “reality-check” roundtables with practitioners refined proposals into phased pilots, exemplified by a 2022 crisis simulation advocating “sports neutrality visas” for athletes barred by geopolitics. This later inspired a student-led petition funding refugee athlete grants, proving MUN’s power to humanize policy and spark civic action.

Fairness in sports is not static but a relentless pursuit. It demands dismantling profit-driven agendas, recentering marginalized voices, and equipping youth to navigate idealism and pragmatism. As UNESCO asserts, equity is not a privilege but a right—a vision achievable through intersectional efforts bridging academia, grassroots activism, and global solidarity.

Conflicts of Interest

The author declares that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this article.

Funding

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Acknowledgments

The author would like to acknowledge the relentless efforts of athletes, coaches, and sports organizations worldwide, whose dedication and achievements provided invaluable material and case studies for this research. Special gratitude is extended to my university and academic supervisor for their scholarly guidance and unwavering support throughout this project.

References

- [1] Pielke, R.; Tucker, R.; Boye, E. Sex, Gender, and Fairness in Sport. *Br. J. Sports Med.* 2019, 53, 417-419, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2018-099700>.
- [2] Hanstad, D.V.; Skille, E.A.; Loland, S. Anti-Doping in Developing Countries. *Sport Soc.* 2008, 11, 38-53, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/17430430701823552>.
- [3] Li, X.; Wang, Y.; Zhang, J. AI Applications in Anti-Doping. *Front. Sports Act. Living*, 2021, 3, 689203, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3389/fspor.2021.689203>.
- [4] Blackshaw, I. *International Sports Law and Justice*; TMC Asser Press: The Hague, Netherlands, 2021; ISBN: 978-94-6265-471-5.
- [5] Darby, P. *Africa's Football Academies: A Global Trade in Young Athletes*; Routledge: London, UK, 2020; ISBN: 978-0-367-43032-1.
- [6] Sugden, J.; Tomlinson, A. *Power Games: A Critical Sociology of Sport*; Routledge: London, UK, 2017; ISBN: 978-1-138-19474-2.
- [7] Lenskyj, H. *Gender, Athletes' Rights, and the Olympic Industry*; Palgrave Macmillan: Cham, Switzerland, 2022; ISBN: 978-3-030-99124-6.
- [8] EU. General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR). Available online: <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/eli/reg/2016/679/oj> (accessed on 24 May 2018).
- [9] IOC. *Financial Report 2017–2020*; International Olympic Committee: Lausanne, Switzerland, 2021. Available online: <https://olympics.com/ioc/finance> (accessed on 15 October 2023).
- [10] Ministry of Education, China. *National Report on School Sports Development*; Ministry of Education: Beijing, China, 2022.
- [11] ITTF. *Statement on Data Breach Incident*. In *Proceedings of the International Table Tennis Federation Annual Congress*, Busan, South Korea, 12-15 November 2022; ITTF: Lausanne, Switzerland, 2022.
- [12] Norwegian Olympic Committee. *Gender Equality in Sports: Annual Review*. Available online: <https://www.idrettsforbundet.no> (accessed on 10 March 2023).
- [13] UNESCO. *Global Report on Sports Equity*. Available online: <https://unesco.org/sports-equity> (accessed on 20 September 2023).
- [14] Karkazis, K.; Jordan-Young, R. *Debating a Testosterone 'Sex Gap' In Science and Gender in Sport*; Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, USA, 2015; pp. 858-860.

- [15] NBA. Collective Bargaining Agreement; National Basketball Association: New York, NY, USA, 2020. Available online: <https://nbpa.com/cba> (accessed on 10 October 2023).
- [16] Li, X.; Chen, L.; Zhou, M. AI-Driven Anti-Doping: Ethical and Technical Challenges; Springer: Singapore, 2023; ISBN: 978-981-99-0001-5.



© 2025 by the author(s); licensee International Technology and Science Publications (ITS), this work for open access publication is under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0). (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>)