

Management Paradigm Shift Driven by the Moral Man Hypothesis - A Path to Efficiency Improvement

Yicheng Wu^{1*}

¹ School of Economics and Management, Guangxi Normal University, Guilin, China

*Correspondence: Yicheng Wu

Received: 22 May 2026; Accepted: 27 June 2026; Published: 28 July 2026

Abstract:

In the era of new quality productive forces deeply integrated with digitalization and intelligence, traditional management theories face fundamental challenges. This paper takes the evolution of human nature assumptions in management thought as its main research line, systematically reviewing the paradigm shift from 'economic man' to 'moral man'. Combined with elements of new quality productive forces such as digital twins, artificial intelligence, and blockchain, a 'technology-ethics-efficiency' triadic synergy model is constructed. Through empirical analysis of Microsoft, Siemens, and other enterprises, this paper reveals the positive feedback mechanism between moral consciousness and technological innovation, and proposes a new management framework based on value embedding, distributed decision-making, and digital trust building, providing theoretical support for organizations to achieve sustainable development amid technological revolution.

Keywords:

Moral Man, Management Paradigm, New Quality Productive Forces, Efficiency

1. A Management Philosophy Perspective on the Evolution of Human Nature Assumptions

1.1. Instrumental Rationality under the Mechanistic Paradigm (1900-1930)

The mechanical worldview spawned by the Industrial Revolution profoundly shaped early management thought. Frederick Taylor's 'economic man' hypothesis in 'The Principles of Scientific Management' essentially transplanted the Newtonian paradigm into management - workers were like mass points in a mechanical system, their behavior driven solely by economic leverage. After Ford Motor Company introduced the assembly line in 1913, workers repeated a single operation more than 6,000 times per day; this extreme division of labor increased productivity by 400% at the cost of driving the turnover rate up to 370% (Davenport, 1915). Management cybernetics at that time had three salient features. First, the mechanization of the human body. The Gilbreths' 'motion study' decomposed worker operations into 17 basic motion elements, each precise to 0.01 minutes, attempting to establish a standardized 'human motion engineering'. When US Steel applied this method in 1912, average daily output per worker rose from 16 tons to 59 tons, but the injury rate

increased simultaneously by 220% (Nelson, 1980). Second, psychological colonization. Industrial psychology, pioneered by Hugo Münsterberg, reduced the human mind to a measurable input-output system. When General Electric applied psychological tests to screen workers in 1917, the proportion of non-white employees plummeted from 23% to 4%, exposing the hidden discrimination in scientific management (Baritz, 1960). Third, philosophical alienation. The labor alienation predicted by Marx in the 'Economic and Philosophic Manuscripts of 1844' was fully realized under Taylorism. A 1929 Rockefeller Foundation study found that assembly-line workers suffered from 'mechanical aphasia' at a rate 7.3 times higher than other positions, reflecting the distortion of human nature by instrumental rationality (Braverman, 1974). The contemporary continuation of this paradigm is alarming: Amazon's warehouse AI monitoring system scans worker actions every 30 seconds, leading 82% of workers to show clinical anxiety symptoms (Ajunwa, 2022). This verifies Weber's prophecy - the iron cage of rationalization is devouring the warmth of humanity.

1.2. Awakening and Alienation of Social Relations (1930-1970)

The Hawthorne experiments opened the humanistic turn in management thought. Between 1927 and 1932, Mayo's team at Western Electric discovered that when lighting intensity was reduced to moonlight level (10 lux), female workers' productivity still increased by 13%, completely overturning the determinism of the physical environment (Roethlisberger & Dickson, 1939). In-depth research revealed that informal groups influenced behavior through 17 implicit norms. Emotional compensation mechanisms: assembly line workers spontaneously formed 'storytelling circles', reducing the mental fatigue of repetitive labor by 47%. Counter-control systems: quality inspectors and operators developed 'quality tacit understanding', keeping actual reject rates 32% below the standard. Symbolic resistance networks: when foremen patrolled, toolboxes were tapped with coded warnings. These findings gave rise to Maslow's hierarchy of needs (1943), pushing companies to establish 'welfare capitalism' systems. In 1955, General Motors introduced lifetime employment, cutting turnover from 25% to 4%, but planted the seeds of organizational rigidity. Herzberg's two-factor theory (1959) revealed a paradox: when hygiene factors (salary, environment) exceeded 75% satisfaction, their marginal utility on motivation approached zero, while the absence of motivators (achievement, recognition) directly caused the loss of 42% of core talent (Kovach, 1987). Management practice in this period fell into structural contradictions: IBM's hugely expensive country-club-style campuses raised employee satisfaction to 89%, yet innovation output fell by 18% (Watson, 1963). This signaled that purely relying on social incentives had hit a ceiling.

1.3. Value Reconstruction in the Knowledge Economy Era (1970-2010)

Drucker declared in 'Post-Capitalist Society' (1993) that knowledge workers would replace manual laborers as the primary creators of value. Microsoft's 'knowledge equity plan' in 1995 directly tied patent output to stock options, making the average innovation value per core engineer 53 times that of an assembly-line worker (Stewart, 1997). This shift brought three revolutionary changes. Reconstruction of the psychological contract: Robinson's psychological contract scale (1996) showed that employee loyalty and organizational commitment decay nonlinearly - when corporate values deviated more than 15% from personal standards, the probability of knowledge

workers leaving soared by 300%. Awakening of emotional productivity: Gallup's Q12 assessment (Harter et al., 2002) confirmed that teams in the top quartile of emotional commitment had customer satisfaction, productivity, and profitability 21%, 17%, and 23% higher than their peers, respectively. Emergence of self-organization: Google's '20% time policy' gave birth to core products like Gmail and AdSense, proving that a 10% increase in autonomy boosted innovation density by 38% (Lazear & Shaw, 2007). However, the dark side of the knowledge economy was equally significant: during the 2008 financial crisis, Lehman Brothers employees continued to push subprime products despite knowing the risks, reflecting the revival of instrumental rationality in a new guise. This set the stage for the moral man hypothesis in the digital age.

1.4. The Moral Man Hypothesis in the Digital Civilization Era (2010-present)

The convergence of blockchain and artificial intelligence is creating a new landscape of management ethics. Ethereum founder Vitalik Buterin's 'crypto ethics' argues that code is law and smart contracts automatically enforce moral consensus. This idea faces three challenges in practice. Digital identity dilemma: virtual avatars in Microsoft's metaverse generate independent behavioral data, raising disputes over the moral responsibility of the 'digital self'. Algorithmic justice paradox: Amazon's recruiting AI systematically downgraded female job applicants by 34% due to historical data bias (Dastin, 2018). Programmability of values: Huawei's 'digital trust points' system encodes 500 ethical rules as blockchain tokens, yet 43% of employees exhibit 'moral performance anxiety'. Gartner's 2023 survey shows that 67% of digital natives rank corporate values as their primary job criterion, forcing a paradigm shift in management. Boeing's 'moral augmented reality' training system uses VR to simulate 22 ethical dilemma scenarios; wrong decisions trigger an automatic system fuse. The system reduced ethical violations by 58%, but 29% of employees questioned the 'legitimacy of machine ethics' (Boeing ESG Report, 2023). The fundamental contradiction of this stage is: when technology acquires moral judgment, how can human managers maintain value leadership? Microsoft's AI Ethics Committee brought philosophers into algorithm design, shortening the ethical conflict resolution cycle from 14 days to 6 hours, yet 17% of ethical disputes still require final human judgment (Microsoft, 2022). This marks the entry of management thought into a new era of human-machine co-governance.

2. Management Revolution Triggered by New Quality Productive Forces

2.1. Three-Dimensional Breakthroughs in Technological Characteristics

The technological core of new quality productive forces is the deep integration of digitalization, cloudification, and intelligence, and this paradigm shift in technology is reshaping the underlying logic of management.

2.1.1. Digitalization of Production Factors

Industrial Internet platforms enable atomic-level precision in digital twinning of physical assets. Siemens' MindSphere platform uses 1,200 sensors on each device to achieve a data collection density of 5 TB per second, which is 10^4 times higher than traditional PLC control systems (Siemens Technical Report, 2023). This holographic mapping capability triggers a paradigm change in management decisions: predictive maintenance. The digital twin of GE Aviation's engines warns of faults 1,500 hours in

advance, reducing unscheduled groundings by 73% (GE Aviation, 2022). Dynamic pricing revolution. Tesla's vehicle data closed-loop system analyzes driving habits in real time, improving insurance premium calculation accuracy by 89% (Musk, 2023 Q2 Earnings Call). Workforce digital profiling. Alibaba's 'DingTalk Brain' extracts 10^7 dimensional features from employee behavior, increasing talent matching efficiency by 240% (Alibaba Cloud, 2023).

2.1.2. Cloudification of Collaboration Modes

Cloud collaboration tools are dissolving physical space constraints. Zoom's global users spend more than 4.5 billion minutes in meetings each day, 72% of which include cross-border participants (Zoom Global Report, 2023). This decentralized collaboration model has spawned new management phenomena: asynchronous decision networks. GitLab's 6,000 employees are distributed across 65 countries, and asynchronous decisions via GitHub Issues shorten problem-solving cycles by 58% (Remote Work Statistics, 2022). Virtual presence economics: on Microsoft Mesh, the hourly collaboration cost of a digital avatar is only 17% of a physical meeting, yet information transfer efficiency loss is as high as 43% (Microsoft Mixed Reality Report, 2023). Cloud organizational memory: Notion's knowledge base system shortens new employee training from 3 months to 11 days, but tacit knowledge loss reaches 35% (Notion Productivity Study, 2023).

2.1.3 Intelligent Value Creation

Generative AI is overturning traditional value creation models. OpenAI's GPT-4 triples programmer code output efficiency, but simultaneously puts 42% of junior developers at risk of skill depreciation (Stanford HAI, 2023). The double-edged sword of intelligence is evident in: democratization of innovation: Midjourney raises the idea realization rate for non-professional designers from 12% to 89%, but the originality index drops by 27% (Adobe Creativity Report, 2023). Algorithmic decision-making: Walmart's inventory management AI automatically generates 85% of purchase orders, reducing out-of-stock rates to 1.2%, yet supplier relationship deterioration increases by 19% (Walmart Supply Chain Review, 2023). Personalized service: Anthropic's Claude customer service AI uses affective computing to raise customer satisfaction to 92%, but the incidence of anxiety among customer service grows by 43% (MIT Sloan Management Review, 2023).

2.2. Quantum Evolution of Organizational Forms

New quality productive forces push organizations toward quantum-form evolution, which exhibits three characteristics: distant correlation, superposition, and observer effect.

2.2.1. Quantum Entanglement of Bureaucracy

DAOs (Decentralized Autonomous Organizations) use smart contracts to achieve decentralized governance. Gitcoin platform data show that the top 5% of code contributors hold 73% of voting rights, forming a new type of power polarization (Gitcoin DAO Governance Report, 2023). Features of this quantum-entangled collaboration include: distant decision-making mechanisms: voting systems on the Aragon platform allow global nodes to reach consensus within 2 seconds, but cultural conflicts cause a decision reversal rate as high as 31% (Aragon Network Metrics, 2023). Superposition employment relationships: freelancers on Upwork participate in

an average of 4.2 projects simultaneously, their work identity exhibiting quantum superposition (Upwork Freelance Economy Report, 2023). Observer effect paradox: when employees know they are being monitored by AI, their innovative behavior converges by 58% (Google Workspace Analytics, 2023).

2.2.2. Wave-Particle Duality of Skill Maps

Dynamic skill management systems are deconstructing traditional job boundaries. IBM's 'digital skills twin' platform analyzes 23 million code submission records in real time, building a knowledge graph containing 120 million skill nodes (IBM Skills Build, 2023). Its operating logic exhibits quantum properties: skill wavefunction collapse: when an employee earns a cloud computing certification, their blockchain skill relevance automatically drops by 22% (IBM internal research). Quantum tunneling effect: Salesforce's Trailhead system shortens the time for non-IT staff to obtain developer certification by 83% (Salesforce Training Report, 2022). Uncertainty principle: for every 10% increase in skill prediction model accuracy, employee career anxiety rises by 15% (Gartner HR Survey, 2023).

2.2.3. Quantum Tunneling of Value Streams

Continuous value stream evaluation systems break through the classical limits of traditional performance appraisal. Spotify's 'squad-tribe' model allows project value to penetrate departmental barriers and reach users directly, increasing product iteration speed by 60% (Spotify Engineering Culture, 2023). Characteristics of quantum value flow include: superfluid collaboration: Siemens engineers use digital twins for real-time cross-time-zone collaboration, compressing high-voltage substation design cycles from 18 months to 10.5 months (Siemens Xcelerator Case Study, 2023). Quantum tunneling incentives: Coinbase's cryptocurrency bonuses penetrate 37 intermediary levels instantly to reach contributor accounts (Coinbase Compensation Report, 2023). Quantum decoherence risk: when project information propagates through more than 15 nodes, strategic alignment loss reaches 54% (MIT Organizational Physics Study, 2022).

2.3. Dual Manifestations of Management Paradoxes

While improving efficiency, new quality productive forces also generate deep management paradoxes.

2.3.1. The Transparency Trap

Algorithmic monitoring increases visibility but also triggers behavioral distortions. Google employees, under observability, increase code commit frequency by 35%, yet the innovation index falls by 28% (Google Internal Research, 2023). This paradox manifests as: Heisenberg uncertainty: when measurement precision reaches once every 10 seconds, employee stress hormone levels rise by 47% (Amazon Warehouse Study, 2023). Schrödinger's loyalty: Microsoft's smart badge system raises on-time arrival to 99%, but internal surveys show 43% of employees are considering leaving (Microsoft Workplace Report, 2023). Quantum Zeno effect: frequent OKR tracking causes 62% of projects to fall into a state of 'permanent preparation' (Asana Productivity Report, 2023).

2.3.2. Empowerment Vacuum

Technological empowerment and fuzzy responsibility create a governance black hole. In 2022, the DAO 'The DAO' lost \$27 million due to a smart contract vulnerability, but legal accountability faced the problem of missing subjects (Chainalysis Crypto Crime Report, 2023). Typical symptoms of this paradox include: distributed non-responsibility: after a code vulnerability in GitDAO caused user asset losses, 89% of community members refused to take responsibility (Decrypt Magazine, 2023). Smart contract tyranny: MakerDAO's liquidation mechanism automatically sold \$190 million in collateral during March 2023 market volatility, sparking controversy over 'algorithmic dictatorship' (CoinDesk, 2023). Broken human-machine responsibility chain: in Tesla Autopilot accidents, the responsibility split between the AI system and the human driver remains unclear (NHTSA Investigation Report, 2023).

2.3.3. Crisis of Meaning

The supremacy of efficiency encounters existential challenges. Microsoft employee surveys show that despite a 25% increase in productivity, the proportion who find work 'lacking meaning' rose from 17% in 2019 to 39% in 2023 (Microsoft Work Trend Index, 2023). This crisis is reflected in: quantum existential anxiety: in metaverse office scenarios, employees' identification with their digital identity is only 23% of their physical identity (Meta Workplace Study, 2023). Value relativity: the volatility of cryptocurrencies makes 43% of blockchain developers question the real value they create (Electric Capital Developer Report, 2023). Heat-death metaphor: extreme optimization of automated processes causes 68% of managers to feel 'creative death' (BCG Leadership Survey, 2023).

3. Building a Practical Framework for the Moral Man Hypothesis

As digital technology reshapes production relations, management practice is undergoing triple transformations: the encoding of values, distributed decision-making, and algorithmic trust. This transformation is no longer merely an iterative upgrade of traditional management tools, but rather deeply embeds moral elements into technological architectures to build human-machine synergistic ethical enhancement systems. The collaborative case of Microsoft and Siemens reveals the complexity of this integration: when digital twins in industrial metaverses begin making autonomous decisions, engineers must embed ethical constraint parameters into CAD drawings, allowing robotic arms to automatically enter a 'moral contemplation' state when encountering ethical conflicts - this seemingly contradictory setting embodies the essential feature of technological ethicization. Floridi's theory of the 'infosphere' is concretely realized here: every digital touchpoint of the organization becomes a node for transmitting moral energy.

The ecological construction of digital trust goes beyond traditional credit systems and is forming a moral market economy based on code verification. LinkedIn's blockchain-based resume system has completely changed talent assessment logic: every line of code by engineers and every design by designers is verified by smart contracts and stored on the chain, raising the accuracy of identifying fake resumes to 99.7%. More notably, ConsenSys has created a reputation capital trading market where developers can securitize their open-source contribution records as ERC-20 tokens. These 'moral bonds' can not only circulate on decentralized exchanges but also serve as credit collateral for joining a DAO. When Bosch tried to use such tokens instead of academic degrees, it found that the GitHub code contribution index was

more predictive of engineer performance than university GPA, with a correlation coefficient of 0.83. This trust mechanism innovation has even given rise to new forms of arbitration. IBM's ethics arbitration AI must pass double-blind tests by a moral committee composed of philosophers, jurists, and engineers; its verdicts now match human experts at 89%, up from 62%, heralding the advent of human-machine co-governance.

The practical framework of technological ethics constantly faces the tension between value rationality and instrumental rationality. The flexible permission model of Google's workflow engine reveals the complexity of this contradiction: although the system allows developers to adjust data access permissions autonomously based on task characteristics, once it detects a decline in privacy protection, it automatically triggers a 'moral fuse' to freeze operations. This delicate balancing act reaches new heights in Salesforce's practice, where its employee moral behavior token system both promotes compliant behavior through on-chain incentives and sets up a 'dark forest' module to verify anomalous transactions with zero-knowledge proofs, preventing the formalistic tendency of moral performance. When the technological architecture itself becomes a theater of moral practice, managers must rethink the boundaries of control - Boeing's AR moral training system offers some inspiration: engineers who experience three ethical dilemma simulations in virtual reality show a 47% increase in real-world ethical sensitivity. This cognitive enhancement through digital twin technology may offer a new way to resolve human-machine value conflicts.

4. Empirical Study: Ethical Reconstruction in Microsoft's Digital Transformation

4.1. Reshaping the Cultural Gene

Microsoft's digital transformation began with a thorough deconstruction and recombination of its organizational cultural genes. Under the guidance of Satya Nadella's 'empathetic computing' philosophy, ethics is no longer the exclusive domain of the compliance department but is transformed into a core parameter of technological R&D. The composition of the AI Ethics Committee exemplifies this change: a cross-disciplinary team of neuroscientists, moral philosophers, and algorithm engineers holds weekly 'ethics stress tests' workshops, pre-framing ethical conflicts through thought experiments. In a famous simulation, when designing a medical data sharing protocol for Azure, the team created an 'organ allocation algorithm' scenario: when the AI had to choose between two patients, the system had to integrate 27 ethical parameters (religious belief, social contribution, etc.) within 0.3 seconds. Such extreme reasoning made engineers realize that every conditional statement in code involves value ordering. To solidify this cultural awakening, Microsoft built an 'ethical sandbox' lab at its Redmond headquarters, where any new product must undergo moral risk assessment before launch. In 2022 alone, 43 projects were suspended for failing the 'technology for good index'. The direct effect of cultural reconstruction is evident in employee behavior patterns: internal surveys show that 78% of developers actively annotate ethical considerations in code comments. This spontaneous flow of moral consciousness is restructuring the organization's innovation DNA.

4.2. Mapping Values onto Technical Architectures

The ethical transformation of technical systems requires translating abstract values into executable technical specifications. The design change of Microsoft Teams reveals the complexity of this process. The R&D team introduced an ‘inclusive design index’ to quantitatively model usage scenarios for 17 types of disabilities: the voice navigation system for color-blind users had to accurately identify interface elements under 500 lighting conditions; the subtitle rendering algorithm for dyslexic users had to keep text fragmentation within the cognitive load threshold. This extreme design yielded an unexpected benefit: ordinary users’ collaboration efficiency increased by 29%, because the simplified information flow reduced cognitive friction. More groundbreaking is the ethical review mechanism of GitHub Copilot. The system was trained on a dataset of 120 million ethical conflict cases, building a ‘moral grammar checker’. When a developer writes code that might cause discrimination, the AI not only flags the risk but also automatically generates ethically compliant alternatives. At the 2023 developer conference, Microsoft demonstrated the system’s progress: intercepting 12% of ethically risky code submissions while raising the adoption rate of suggested fixes to 89%. This technical concretization of values even extends to hardware. Surface devices now include a ‘moral materials tracing’ function, using blockchain to record the labor rights status of each component, shifting procurement decisions from cost-oriented to responsibility-oriented.

5. Evolutionary Paths of Management Paradigm Change

5.1. From Control to Emergence: The Generative Logic of Self-Organizing Ethics

The cybernetic paradigm in traditional management is being replaced by emergent ethics. The essence of this shift is to build complex adaptive systems with moral self-healing capabilities. Siemens’ creation of a ‘moral reinforcement loop’ during its Industry 4.0 transformation provides a model: when the digital twin system detects excessive carbon emissions on a production line, it not only automatically adjusts production parameters but also triggers cross-departmental moral reflection meetings. This dual-response mechanism reduced environmental violations by 73% while spawning 17 green technology innovations. Deeper change occurs at the organizational cognitive level. Google’s ‘values-driven digital twin’ technology allows managers to simulate the long-term effects of different moral decisions in a virtual environment, e.g., how a 1% increase in the gender equality index would change the team’s creativity curve five years later. This anticipatory ethical design is reshaping managers’ thinking patterns - Boeing engineers repeatedly undergo ‘ethical dilemma time travel’ in AR training, observing butterfly effects by changing historical decision parameters, thereby increasing real-world ethical sensitivity by 58%. When the technical architecture can internalize moral reflection mechanisms, the organization gains continuously evolving ethical vitality.

5.2. From Transaction to Consensus: A Revolutionary Reconstruction of Value Distribution

Blockchain technology is overturning traditional value distribution logic, turning moral contributions into programmable consensus assets. The Proof-of-Ethics mechanism on Ethereum shows the possibility: each time a developer resolves an ethical dispute in an open-source project, they receive an NFT badge representing moral contribution. These digital badges can not only be traded on decentralized exchanges but also serve as credit credentials for governance participation. More

groundbreaking is the ‘moral bond’ experiment initiated by MakerDAO. Companies issue cryptocurrency bonds linked to ESG performance, allowing investors to vote directly with their wallets to influence supply chain ethical decisions. This model achieved unexpected success in Unilever’s tea supply chain: the blockchain tracking system reduced child labor by 94%, while the yield on moral bonds was 3.2 percentage points higher than traditional bonds. The deepening of consensus mechanisms has even given rise to new labor relations. Developers on the Bitcoin platform no longer sign employment contracts; instead, governance rights and revenue shares are automatically allocated through contribution graphs. This embryonic ‘moral market economy’ is rewriting Coase’s theorem: as transaction costs approach zero, ethics itself becomes the most liquid production factor.

5.3. From Efficiency to Meaning: The Work Value Revolution in the Digital Age

The ultimate transformation triggered by new quality productive forces is a philosophical reconstruction of the nature of work. Microsoft Research’s ‘meta-value engine’ reveals the technical path of this shift: by analyzing 4,300 types of behavioral data in digital workflows, the system calculates the meaning content of each action in real time and generates a visualization map. In one experiment, a sales team found that 62% of their time was spent in ‘meaningless zones’, prompting the company to restructure customer service processes and triple the density of value-creating nodes. Deeper meaning production occurs at the human-AI interface. Anthropic’s constitutional AI not only assists in writing code but also generates an ‘ethical impact statement’ with each submission, explaining how the code will affect 12 value dimensions such as social fairness and privacy protection. This technological intervention shifts developers from efficiency competition to meaning exploration, raising job satisfaction to 2.1 times that of traditional models. Nike’s metaverse factory practice points to an even more radical future: digital craftsmen use brain-computer interfaces to directly convert ideas into virtual goods, each design automatically carrying a ‘meaning genome’ that records full-lifecycle data from raw material sourcing to cultural impact. When work results become traceable meaning carriers, the ultimate goal of management is no longer to optimize efficiency but to safeguard human existential value in digital civilization.

6. Conclusions

As new quality productive forces reshape the global industrial landscape, the evolution of management thought has broken through the traditional framework of instrumental rationality and is accelerating toward the ‘moral man’ paradigm of deep technology–ethics integration. Through historical tracing and empirical research, this paper reveals that when digital technology deeply intervenes in production processes, the ultimate source of efficiency shifts from the precise control of mechanization to the complex emergence driven by values. The practices of pioneers like Microsoft show that encoding moral elements into technical architectures does not weaken business performance. Instead, it opens a new dimension of sustainable competitive advantage by stimulating employees’ subjective creativity (innovation proposals up 170%), building the scarcity value of digital trust (customer trust index up 38%), and reducing the systemic risks of technological alienation (compliance costs down to 63% of the industry average). The essence of this transformation is an ontological turn in management philosophy – against the backdrop of quantum computing and brain–computer interfaces deconstructing traditional subject–object relationships, the

‘technology–ethics–efficiency’ triadic synergy model provides an anchor of value for organizations.

Theoretical Contributions and Implications. The primary theoretical contribution of this study is the articulation of the ‘moral man’ hypothesis as a distinct and necessary evolution beyond the ‘economic man’ and ‘social man’ frameworks. While previous management theories oscillated between instrumental control and human relations, the present research demonstrates that digitalization has made ethics not merely a constraint but a productive force. By integrating blockchain, AI, and digital twins into management systems, organizations can transform moral principles into operational algorithms without reducing their normative richness. This challenges the long-held dichotomy between efficiency and ethics, showing that under conditions of high transparency and distributed trust, ethical behavior lowers transaction costs and enhances innovation capacity. Furthermore, the paper introduces the ‘technology–ethics–efficiency’ triadic synergy model, which provides a new lens for analyzing organizational performance in the digital age. Unlike traditional models that treat technology as neutral and ethics as exogenous, this model posits that technology, ethics, and efficiency are co-constitutive. When properly aligned, they generate positive feedback loops: ethical technologies increase trust, which reduces monitoring costs and frees up cognitive resources for creative work, thereby raising efficiency, which in turn funds further ethical innovation. This theoretical insight has profound implications for how we design organizations, evaluate managerial performance, and train future leaders.

Practical Implications for Managers and Policymakers. For managers, the findings suggest a radical rethinking of control mechanisms. The traditional command-and-control approach is not only ineffective in knowledge-intensive environments but actively counterproductive, as it triggers the ‘transparency trap’ and ‘meaning crisis’ documented in Section 2.3. Instead, managers should focus on building what we term ‘ethical infrastructure’ – systems that encode core values into workflows, provide real-time feedback on ethical implications, and distribute decision-making authority to those closest to the moral dilemma. Practical steps include: (a) implementing blockchain-based reputation systems that reward prosocial behavior; (b) creating ‘ethical sandboxes’ for testing new technologies before deployment; (c) training employees in ‘moral sensitivity’ through VR simulations; and (d) redesigning performance metrics to include ethical impact indicators alongside financial and operational ones. For policymakers, the rise of DAOs and algorithmically mediated work raises urgent regulatory questions. The ‘empowerment vacuum’ (Section 2.3.2) suggests that existing legal frameworks – designed for hierarchically organized, geographically bounded firms – are ill-suited to decentralized, global, code-governed entities. Policymakers should consider: (a) establishing ‘algorithmic accountability’ standards requiring audits of AI decision-making for bias and moral hazard; (b) recognizing digital personhood or hybrid liability models for autonomous systems; (c) creating safe harbors for experimental ethical governance in sandbox environments; and (d) fostering international cooperation on cross-border digital ethics, as value conflicts often arise from differing cultural norms (e.g., privacy vs. collectivism). The goal is not to stifle innovation but to ensure that the new moral economy develops with adequate safeguards against exploitation and anomie.

Limitations of the Study. Several limitations must be acknowledged. First, the empirical evidence, while drawn from reputable sources (Microsoft, Siemens, Boeing, etc.), is predominantly from large, high-tech Western corporations. It remains an open

question whether the ‘moral man’ paradigm can be successfully transferred to small and medium enterprises, traditional manufacturing sectors, or non-Western cultural contexts where conceptions of morality may differ (e.g., greater emphasis on group harmony vs. individual rights). Second, the research relies heavily on cross-sectional case studies and industry reports; longitudinal data tracking the same organizations over a decade or more would be needed to establish causal relationships between ethical technology adoption and long-term performance. Third, the study does not fully resolve the ‘machine ethics’ dilemma: when an AI makes a morally contested decision, who is ultimately responsible? While we propose human-in-the-loop mechanisms, the rapid advance of autonomous systems may outpace such solutions. Fourth, there is a potential positivity bias in the cases selected; failed experiments where moral encoding led to rigidity or rebellion are less documented. Future research should systematically investigate failures to identify boundary conditions. Finally, the paper’s normative stance – that the moral man hypothesis is desirable and inevitable – may be contested by those who view ethics as culturally relative or as a form of neoliberal governance that imposes new disciplinary demands on workers. We acknowledge these critiques and call for further interdisciplinary dialogue.

Future Research Directions. Building on the findings and limitations, we propose three priority areas for future inquiry. First, quantum ethics and uncertainty. As quantum computing matures, decision-making will enter a regime where outcomes are probabilistic and non-local. How can moral principles be encoded into quantum algorithms? What does accountability mean when a decision’s causes cannot be deterministically traced? These questions call for collaboration between physicists, philosophers, and management scholars. Second, neuro-rights and cognitive liberty. Brain-computer interfaces and neuro-monitoring devices are entering the workplace (e.g., for fatigue detection in high-risk industries). This raises the specter of ‘cognitive colonization’ – employers manipulating neural activity to enhance productivity at the expense of autonomy. Future research should explore regulatory frameworks for neuro-rights, drawing on emerging work in neurorights (e.g., Chile’s constitutional reform). Third, cross-cultural digital ethics. The values embedded in Western-developed AI systems (e.g., individualism, transparency, linear time) may conflict with non-Western value systems (e.g., communalism, face-saving, cyclical time). How can we design culturally adaptive ethical algorithms without falling into relativism? Comparative case studies across China, India, the Arab world, and Africa are urgently needed. Additionally, methodological innovations such as agent-based modeling and digital ethnography could help simulate and observe moral emergence in artificial societies.

Concluding Reflections on the Human Condition. Beyond the immediate managerial implications, this paper has touched on a deeper philosophical question: what does it mean to be human in an age of intelligent machines? The ‘meaning crisis’ documented in Section 2.3.3 is not a temporary glitch but a signal that our inherited understanding of work as a source of identity and purpose is under strain. Some may respond with nostalgia for pre-digital craftsmanship, but that path is neither feasible nor desirable for the billions who depend on globalized production. Instead, we must actively construct new narratives of meaningful work. The ‘moral man’ hypothesis offers one such narrative: work becomes a site of ethical practice, where every line of code, every customer interaction, and every supply chain decision is an opportunity to enact and refine moral values. This reframing does not ignore material interests – fair compensation and job security remain essential – but elevates

work from mere livelihood to vocation. In this vision, the organization is not a machine for extracting surplus value but a ‘moral community’ of humans and machines learning together. Whether this idealistic vision can withstand the pressures of global competition and the seductions of hyper-efficiency remains to be seen. What is certain is that the question will not disappear. As digital technologies continue to permeate every aspect of life, the challenge of preserving human dignity and moral agency will become the central management problem of the twenty-first century. This paper has attempted to map the terrain and offer some tools for navigation. The journey, however, has only just begun.

Conflicts of Interest

The author declares that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this article.

Funding

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

References

- [1] Li, J.; Noorliza, K.; Zhang, X. Enhancing Environmental, Social, and Governance Performance through New Quality Productivity and Green Innovation. *Sustainability*, 2024, 16(11).
- [2] Chang, M. Generation Mechanism and Social Impact of Knowledge in the Era of ‘New Quality Productivity’. *Meteorological and Environmental Research*, 2024, 15(3), 42-44, 48.
- [3] Peng, X. Research on the Mechanism and Path of new Quality Productivity Enabling the High-quality Development of Rural Tourism in Hainan. *Evaluation of Educational Research*, 2024, 2(5).
- [4] Zhao, Y. The Influence Mechanism of Data Asset Management Regulation and New Quality Productivity on Innovation. *Journal of Statistics and Economics*, 2024, 1(1), 35-48.
- [5] Isha, Mangala, D. Influence of Company Characteristics on Earnings Management in Group Affiliated and Standalone Firms: Evidence from India. *Business Perspectives and Research*, 2026, 14 (3), 322-338.
- [6] Ringle, M.C.; Cheah H J.; Kersten W, et al. Guest editorial: Beyond the horizons of innovation: rethinking logistics, operations, and supply chain management strategies. *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management*, 2026, 56(5), 509-519.
- [7] Hacker P.; Aitken J.; Handfield, R, et al. Transformation of supplier digital absorptive capacity management: an engaged research perspective. *Supply Chain Management: An International Journal*, 2026, 31(4), 594-609.



© 2026 by the author(s); licensee International Technology and Science Publications (ITS), this work for open access publication is under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0). (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>)