

Competition-Driven Teaching Reform: A New Path for Chinese Painting Education

Na An^{1*}

¹ Taishan University, Taian, China

*Correspondence: Na An

Received: 20 June 2026; Accepted: 21 July 2026; Published: 4 August 2026

Abstract:

Against the backdrop of high-quality development of aesthetic education in the new era, traditional Chinese painting teaching has long been trapped in entrenched dilemmas: overemphasis on technical copying while neglecting original expression, reliance on classroom indoctrination at the expense of practical innovation, overfocus on summative assessment rather than all-round growth throughout the learning process. Structural problems including closed teaching systems, homogenized training modes, superficial creative outputs and oversimplified evaluation criteria continuously hinder the improvement of students' artistic literacy and the transformation of their professional capabilities. As a core medium linking classroom instruction, artistic creation and industrial aesthetics, disciplinary competitions feature well-defined standards, clear creative orientations, strong competitiveness and tangible creative outcomes. They thus serve as a pivotal driving force for comprehensive upgrades of classroom quality, curriculum restructuring, teaching method innovation and evaluation optimization for Chinese painting majors. The competition-driven teaching reform is far more than simply adding competitions to regular classes. Instead, it systematically reconstructs the four-year talent cultivation framework of Chinese painting guided in reverse by judging criteria, creative requirements and aesthetic orientations of art contests at all levels. It forms a closed-loop education ecosystem that promotes learning, teaching, research and disciplinary development through competitive events. Based on practical pain points of university Chinese painting education, this paper thoroughly analyzes the internal logic, value connotations and practical necessity of competition-driven reform. It explores an integrated competition-teaching reform path aligned with the requirements of new-era aesthetic education from five dimensions: curriculum restructuring, innovative teaching modes, practical platform construction, upgraded evaluation mechanisms and faculty competency development. The research provides theoretical support and practical paradigms for Chinese painting education to break free from formulaic drawbacks, uphold traditions while pursuing innovation, and cultivate versatile art talents equipped with solid traditional painting skills and contemporary creative capabilities.

Keywords:

Competition-driven Reform, Chinese Painting, Teaching Reform, Integration of Competitions and Teaching, Aesthetic Education Innovation, Practice-oriented Education

1. Introduction

As the most representative visual carrier of fine traditional Chinese culture, Chinese painting embodies the nation's aesthetic paradigms, brush-and-ink spirits and humanistic philosophies, forming a core pillar of the university aesthetic education system. [1] For decades, Chinese painting teaching in Chinese universities has inherited a traditional master-apprentice formulaic pedagogy centered on copying ancient works, training brush-and-ink techniques and mastering figure modeling. This system has matured in inheriting traditional painting techniques, yet its lagging limitations have become increasingly prominent amid the transformation of new-era aesthetic education, cultural creative transformation and art-for-society demands. Rigid classroom structures, monotonous training methods, creation themes detached from contemporary life and evaluation standards fixated solely on technical proficiency have resulted in a universal predicament for most students: skilled at copying ancient models yet stiff in field sketching, capable of technical execution yet hollow in independent creation. Students generally lack capabilities in independent conception, theme refinement, brush-and-ink innovation and contemporary narrative expression, leading to highly homogenized creative outputs with weak artistic identity and low adaptability to social demands.

With deepening reforms of aesthetic education, superficial adjustments such as minor curriculum tweaks, teaching method improvements or extended class hours can no longer resolve deep-rooted structural contradictions within Chinese painting pedagogy. The biggest flaw of traditional teaching lies in the absence of external standardized, professional and competitive inspection mechanisms. Students finish assignments and receive unilateral evaluations from instructors within closed classrooms, remaining in a low-pressure, low-competition learning state without iterative progress incentives. They develop inertia in creation, lack motivation for innovation and set low standards for themselves, making it difficult to foster advanced creative thinking and professional aesthetic judgment.

Disciplinary art competitions, thematic art exhibitions and national college student art showcases gather aesthetic standards of industry experts, contemporary artistic norms, thematic creation requirements and cultural demands of society. They represent the most suitable external force to examine teaching quality and push classroom improvement, bridging the gap between classroom and industry, technical training and independent creation, learning and creative output. Competition-driven teaching reform takes contest standards as the guideline for educational innovation, breaking barriers between classrooms and the art industry, technical drills and independent creation, learning and creative presentation. It fully integrates contest themes, specifications, judging dimensions and creative requirements into daily teaching. Leveraging competitive incentives to activate students' innovation motivation, professional contest standards to rectify classroom biases, and tangible creative outputs to test education effectiveness, the reform achieves a profound transformation of Chinese painting pedagogy from technique-oriented instruction to literacy cultivation, original creation and contemporary social service.

2. Structural Dilemmas of Traditional Chinese Painting Teaching and the Inevitability of Reform

2.1. Rigid Curriculum System: Fragmented Knowledge and Disconnected Competencies

Chinese painting curricula in most universities are mechanically divided into landscape, figure, flower-and-bird modules, copying, sketching and creation units with little progressive logic, connection or competency alignment between courses. The first two undergraduate years focus excessively on basic copying drills that prioritize neat linework, standardized ink application and accurate modeling, entirely ignoring cultivation of aesthetic thinking, conceptualization and thematic awareness. Advanced creative courses offered in junior years lack preliminary accumulation of source materials, conceptual training and technical transformation practice, creating a disconnection between solid foundational skills and independent creative capabilities.

Curriculum content remains confined to classical traditional themes: landscape works imitating ancient masters, traditional ladies as figure subjects and conventional flower-and-bird motifs. Few modules incorporate contemporary life, regional culture, modern landscapes and realistic humanistic themes. Students possess narrow thematic reserves and cannot meet the diversified, thematic and innovative creative demands of contemporary art contests. Fragmented courses only cultivate disjointed technical skills and fail to build a complete logical chain of creation — the root cause of students' inability to produce original and innovative artworks.

2.2. Closed Teaching Modes: Homogenized Classrooms and Weak Learning Motivation

Traditional Chinese painting teaching widely adopts a static, passive and rigid closed loop: instructor demonstration, student copying and assignment commentary. Uniform standards are imposed on all learners without accounting for individual aesthetic differences and creative personalities. Students aim merely to replicate templates rather than explore independently, with limited room for trial and innovative breakthrough.

Without competition, comparison or external evaluation within isolated classrooms, students set their learning goals merely as finishing homework, passing assessments and earning credits, lacking incentives for high-level creative pursuits. Long-term low-intensity, low-competition learning fosters pervasive intellectual inertia. Students rely on templates, copy established formulas and reference online materials, hesitant to break from ancient painting conventions or experiment with innovative approaches, eventually generating homogeneous works lacking unique artistic language.

2.3. Undeveloped Practical Links: Disconnection Between Training and Creation

Chinese painting is an art form heavily reliant on practical accumulation. Perception of brush-and-ink techniques, modeling refinement, artistic conception construction and pictorial narrative all require iterative accumulation through extensive original creation. Nevertheless, practical activities under traditional pedagogy mostly consist of short copying exercises and simple field sketches in class, excluding high-level practical training such as complete thematic creation, long-term project polishing, artwork revision and contest preparation.

Classroom assignments prioritize technical compliance, while contest creation emphasizes thematic conception, pictorial integrity, artistic innovation and emotional expression. Misalignment between the two sets of standards leads to a common issue: students can paint proficiently in class yet struggle to produce competitive artworks for contests. Campuses lack exhibition platforms for teaching outputs, and external practice fails to translate into tangible creative achievements. Field sketching

materials cannot be refined into formal creations, and classroom assignments rarely evolve into contest entries, resulting in inefficient education with limited high-quality artistic outputs.

2.4. Simplified Evaluation Mechanisms: Overemphasis on Techniques, Neglect of Literacy and Process

Traditional assessment systems for Chinese painting courses adopt oversimplified evaluation criteria centered on neat brushwork, accurate modeling and standardized ink application, measuring primarily students' capacity to replicate technical norms while ignoring core artistic competencies including originality, thematic depth, narrative logic, innovation and aesthetic judgment. Biased evaluation incentives push students to pursue standardized technical execution and rigid imitation of classical formulas, discouraging creative breakthrough and personalized artistic expression.

Additionally, assessment relies heavily on summative final examinations, disregarding students' long-term intellectual growth, practical accumulation, creative exploration and trial-and-error processes throughout the semester. Process records, periodic reviews and personalized feedback are largely absent. Such rigid evaluation further entrenches formulaic teaching and suppresses students' innovative consciousness and creative individuality.

2.5. Outdated Talent Cultivation Outputs: Detachment from Contemporary Aesthetics and Insufficient Social Service Capacity

Modern art contests, public aesthetic education and social cultural construction increasingly value timeliness, ideological depth, cultural connotation and realistic representation in artworks, encouraging original creations rooted in real life, contemporary times and regional cultural heritage. However, traditional Chinese painting pedagogy is disconnected from real life, modern themes and social demands. Student artworks generally lack vitality, contemporary relevance and cultural depth, failing to satisfy contemporary artistic communication, thematic exhibition and cultural innovation needs. This creates a structural mismatch between university talent cultivation and social cultural demands.

In summary, traditional Chinese painting pedagogy can no longer adapt to the high-quality development of new-era aesthetic education. Superficial teaching adjustments cannot overcome inherent bottlenecks, necessitating systemic innovation driven by external mechanisms. Competition-driven teaching reform guided by standardized, professional disciplinary contests emerges as an inevitable path to resolve persistent flaws in Chinese painting education and upgrade talent cultivation quality.

3. Internal Logic and Value Connotations of Competition-Driven Teaching Reform for Chinese Painting

3.1. Standard Setting Through Contests: Restructuring Classroom Dimensions Based on Industrial Criteria

After years of development, national and provincial college art contests and thematic Chinese painting exhibitions have established mature, authoritative evaluation frameworks aligned with contemporary creative standards. Judging panels comprehensively assess artworks from six dimensions: thematic conception, original expression, brush-and-ink proficiency, compositional innovation, artistic conception

and contemporary social value — a multi-dimensional system fundamentally different from traditional classroom evaluations fixated solely on technical skills.

The core logic of competition-driven reform lies in fully embedding multi-layer contest judging criteria into daily teaching. Contest requirements are converted into curriculum objectives, training content, assignment standards and assessment benchmarks, shifting classroom instruction from single technical drills to comprehensive artistic literacy cultivation. Daily training aligns with professional contest standards, classroom assignments meet exhibition-level creative norms, and teaching outputs conform to industrial aesthetics, fundamentally correcting biased classroom orientations and outdated training frameworks.

3.2. Learning Incentives Through Contests: Activating Students' Internal Motivation via Competitive Mechanisms

The competitiveness, exhibition value and honor incentives inherent to art contests break students' passive learning inertia entirely. A tiered competition system provides progressive growth platforms for students at all learning stages: freshmen accumulate experience and build confidence through campus foundational contests; sophomores and juniors refine their capabilities and pursue creative breakthroughs via municipal and provincial competitions; seniors and postgraduates achieve professional advancement and high-level creative outputs through national contests.

Under mechanisms of comparative evaluation, expert judging, public artwork display and merit ranking, students clearly identify their weaknesses and voluntarily step outside comfort zones, shifting from mechanical copying to independent creation and rigid formula replication to innovative exploration. Their capacities for independent conceptualization, source material collection, artwork polishing and detail refinement improve drastically, completing a fundamental mindset shift from “being forced to learn” to “taking initiative to create”.

3.3. Pedagogical Innovation Through Contests: Spurring Instructors' Teaching Reform Based on Contest Weakness Analysis

Contest results intuitively reveal universal classroom teaching flaws and individual student deficiencies. Common problems exposed in annual contest entries — monotonous composition, shallow thematic conception, rigid brush-and-ink language, insufficient cultural depth and weak innovation — precisely point to blind spots in curriculum design and teaching methodology. Drawing on post-contest reviews, instructors can reflect on curriculum gaps, flawed teaching approaches and incomplete training systems, adjusting teaching priorities, optimizing delivery methods and supplementing specialized training modules in a targeted manner.

Furthermore, contest preparation demands instructors master advanced competencies including thematic creation guidance, artwork polishing, innovation coaching and narrative construction, pushing educators to abandon narrow technique-centered pedagogy. Instructors must actively research contemporary Chinese painting trends, contest aesthetic orientations and thematic creation logic, continuously upgrading their personal creative and research capabilities to achieve mutual growth between teachers and students through teaching and creation.

3.4. Disciplinary Construction Through Contests: Building a Holistic Talent Cultivation System Integrated with Competitions

Competition-driven reform is not limited to optimizing individual teaching segments; it drives comprehensive upgrades across curricula, pedagogy, practical training, evaluation, faculty development and campus platforms. To meet contest-based education demands, universities must restructure modular courses, build specialized creative workshops, expand field sketching bases, establish artwork incubation platforms and optimize incentive evaluation systems. A complete integrated education ecosystem gradually takes shape, covering classroom instruction, practical training, contest creation, exhibition presentation, post-contest iterative review and social transformation of artworks, comprehensively elevating the overall educational quality and distinctive strengths of Chinese painting majors.

4. Implementation Paths of Competition-Driven Teaching Reform for Chinese Painting in the New Era

4.1. Restructuring Progressive Modular Curricula to Align Classroom Content with Contest Standards

To resolve fragmented and disconnected curricular flaws, a four-year progressive modular curriculum system is reconstructed: foundational cultivation, competency advancement, thematic creation and high-level innovation, precisely matching classroom training content with tiered contest requirements.

The freshman foundational year retains traditional copying, linework and ink technique courses while adding modules of artwork appreciation, aesthetic critical thinking and short thematic sketch creation. Matched with campus entry-level painting contests, low-threshold competitions guide freshmen away from mechanical copying, foster preliminary pictorial conceptualization and original thinking, and cultivate aesthetic judgment alongside basic brush-and-ink skills.

The sophomore competency advancement year deepens specialized technical training for landscape, figure and flower-and-bird painting, adding courses on compositional innovation, color language and source material refinement. Aligned with campus and municipal aesthetic education contests, this stage trains students in simple thematic creation, freeing them from rigid classical formulas and encouraging personalized brush-and-ink expression and contemporary life-themed artworks.

The junior professional creation year centers on core courses of thematic creation, pictorial narrative, artwork polishing and artistic innovation, fully integrating provincial art contests and national college student art showcases. Classroom teaching adopts project-based learning anchored in annual contest themes, replacing fragmented homework drills with complete artwork creation training. Core cultivation focuses on students' capacities for theme refinement, scene construction, emotional expression and brush-and-ink innovation.

The senior high-level innovation year targets national thematic art contests and creative innovation competitions, offering advanced workshop creation courses that guide students to produce large-scale, fully realized original Chinese paintings. Seniors are permitted to submit contest entries as graduation works, realizing high-end output of teaching achievements.

The tiered curriculum restructuring eliminates barriers between foundational training and contest creation, ensuring progressive and seamless capacity development throughout four years of undergraduate study, with every classroom

training module corresponding to matching tiered contest requirements.

4.2. Innovating Diversified Classroom Pedagogies to Build a Competition-Integrated Classroom Ecosystem

The single demonstration-and-copying model is entirely replaced with diversified, project-based and seminar-style teaching methods tailored to contest creation, making classrooms fully serve independent artistic production and contest submission.

Project-based workshop teaching is widely implemented. Independent creation projects are defined by contest themes, with student teams organized as creative units to complete full-cycle creation including thematic research, source material collection, sketch drafting, compositional refinement, ink experimentation and final artwork optimization. Instructors shift from mere technical demonstrators to project supervisors, problem facilitators and innovation mentors, addressing common creative flaws such as hollow conceptualization, chaotic composition, stiff brushwork and ambiguous thematic expression, and cultivating students' complete creative logic.

A post-contest iterative review teaching mechanism is established. Every semester, comparative appreciation sessions analyze winning and losing contest entries against official judging criteria, allowing students to intuitively grasp contemporary Chinese painting aesthetic orientations, innovation requirements and scoring priorities. Specialized remedial classes target universal weaknesses identified in contests, delivering focused skill training to form a closed-loop teaching iteration: each contest round generates comprehensive reviews, targeted curriculum adjustments and subsequent competency improvements.

Diversified artwork evaluation replaces one-sided instructor commentary, incorporating peer reviews, group critiques, online expert feedback and sharing sessions by past contest winners. This broadens students' aesthetic horizons and strengthens their self-critical capacity for artwork revision and refinement.

4.3. Constructing a Tiered Contest Practical System for Full Student Participation and Whole-Cycle Education

The reform breaks the longstanding divide where only elite students participate in contests while most learners remain spectators, establishing a three-tier fully inclusive progressive competition system covering all students throughout their undergraduate careers.

Campus-level contests target all lower-year undergraduates, focusing on basic brush-and-ink technique, short sketch creation and daily-life themed paintings with low entry barriers. Their primary goals are to popularize contest awareness, cultivate creative interest and consolidate foundational skills, ensuring universal student participation, training and advancement.

Municipal and provincial contests cater to sophomores and juniors, prioritizing complete, innovative thematic artworks to polish professional capabilities, accumulate exhibition experience and incubate original creative outputs as core platforms for mid-stage competency growth.

National-level contests serve outstanding senior students, emphasizing high-level innovative large-scale Chinese paintings with profound contemporary narratives and cultural expression to produce premium artistic outputs, nurture specialized talents and shape disciplinary brand characteristics.

Furthermore, a full-chain conversion channel connecting field sketching, classroom assignments, independent creation, contest submission and exhibitions is built. Outdoor sketching materials and daily classroom works are incorporated into contest source reserves, guiding students to continuously accumulate, revise and upgrade creations and eliminating disconnection between practical training and contest submission.

4.4. Establishing a Multi-Dimensional Comprehensive Evaluation System to Reshape Talent Cultivation Assessment Orientations

Aligned with competition-teaching integrated reform, a four-dimensional comprehensive evaluation framework replaces the technique-only assessment model, balancing technical foundation (30%), thematic conception (25%), original innovation (25%) and contest achievements (20%). The system integrates formative and summative assessment, skill and literacy evaluation, classroom and contest outcomes.

The technical dimension assesses brush-and-ink standardization, modeling proficiency and overall pictorial control. The thematic dimension evaluates cultural connotation, contemporary implications and ideological value of artworks. The innovation dimension judges originality in composition, brush-and-ink language and thematic perspective. The contest achievement dimension records all student contest participation, awards and artwork exhibitions and publications.

Formative assessment is strengthened through individual student professional portfolios documenting full learning trajectories including classroom training records, field sketching practices, draft revisions, contest preparation and post-contest reflections. One-off final grading is abolished to encourage long-term accumulation, persistent artwork refinement and steady artistic growth, institutionally motivating originality, tolerating creative trial and error and rewarding innovative exploration.

4.5. Strengthening Faculty Development to Provide Core Support for Competition-Driven Reform

Instructors' capabilities in contest guidance and innovative creation represent the core foundation for successful competition-driven reform implementation. Universities must establish regular faculty development mechanisms to comprehensively upgrade educators' integrated competition-teaching competencies.

Instructors participate in standardized training for art contest judging and thematic Chinese painting creation workshops and cross-institutional artistic exchanges annually to accurately grasp contemporary contest aesthetic trends, creative standards and innovation priorities. Renowned industry judges and master painters are invited to deliver campus research lectures and artwork guidance sessions to enhance instructors' capacities for thematic creation and contest coaching. Incentive policies for faculty contest supervision are formulated: student contest awards and educational research innovations are weighted in faculty performance evaluations, merit reviews and professional title assessments, fully mobilizing instructors' enthusiasm for contest guidance, original creation and teaching reform.

A collaborative mentorship team combining on-campus professional instructors, off-campus industrial experts and folk art masters is built to deliver comprehensive guidance covering technical instruction, thematic development, innovation coaching and aesthetic refinement, significantly elevating overall contest supervision quality.

5. Practical Outcomes and Educational Value of Competition-Driven Teaching Reform

5.1. Systematic Enhancement of Students' Comprehensive Professional Capabilities

The integrated competition-teaching model eliminates students' passive mechanical copying habits, transforming them from mere ancient-form replicators into independent conceptualizers, creators and expressive artists. Students witness remarkable improvements in thematic refinement, pictorial narrative, brush-and-ink innovation and artwork polishing capacities. Their artworks feature diversified themes, profound ideological depth and pluralistic formal language, effectively overcoming homogenization and superficiality. Original creations demonstrate significantly enhanced contemporaneity, cultural connotation and artistic quality.

5.2. Connotative Quality Improvement of Classroom Instruction

Guided in reverse by contest evaluation standards, classrooms break rigid closed frameworks, with continuously updated curriculum content, optimized teaching methodologies and refined training systems. Pedagogy evolves from single technical transmission to all-round artistic literacy cultivation, shifting from static knowledge lectures to dynamic creation workshops and one-way knowledge indoctrination to innovation empowerment. Classroom instruction meets the requirements of high-level, innovative and challenging new-era aesthetic education, achieving intrinsic quality upgrading. [3]

5.3. Distinctive Disciplinary Characteristics Through Continuous Consolidation

Sustained competition-teaching reform generates abundant high-quality original Chinese paintings, forming a distinctive disciplinary education brand centered on "learning through contests, creation-driven artworks and aesthetic cultivation". The model clearly differentiates the major from traditional formulaic Chinese painting pedagogy, elevating disciplinary competitiveness and social influence and advancing characteristic, connotative and high-quality professional development.

5.4. Talent Cultivation Outputs Aligned with Social Cultural Demands

Graduates trained under the reform possess solid traditional brush-and-ink foundations and contemporary innovative thinking, capable of producing culturally rich, life-rooted and era-defining Chinese paintings that precisely meet diversified talent demands for public exhibitions, cultural communication, school aesthetic education, cultural and creative design and thematic artistic creation. Graduate employment adaptability is substantially improved, fulfilling the mission of university aesthetic education to serve regional cultural construction. [4]

6. Reflections on Reform and Prospects for Optimization

While competition-driven competition-teaching integration reform has resolved most structural flaws in traditional Chinese painting education, persistent issues requiring long-term optimization remain in practical implementation. Some lower-year students develop utilitarian tendencies prioritizing contest honors over foundational accumulation, pursuing superficial formal innovation while neglecting solid traditional brush-and-ink training. A subset of artworks feature hollow thematic conception with overly ostentatious formal experimentation and impetuous brushwork.

Conflicts between long-cycle national contest preparation and senior internships and graduation projects hinder in-depth artwork polishing. [5]

Future reform will refine tiered talent cultivation mechanisms, prioritizing foundational skill building and moderate innovative exploration for freshmen to balance traditional inheritance and contemporary innovation. Juniors and seniors will receive enhanced training in thematic depth, cultural connotation and brush-and-ink precision to eliminate empty formal innovation. Flexible curriculum scheduling and personalized hybrid workshop guidance will resolve timing conflicts between internships, graduation requirements and contest preparation. Further integration of contests, teaching and academic research will be deepened: contest outputs support educational research, research achievements feed back into classroom instruction, forming a self-sustaining iterative positive education ecosystem. [2]

7. Conclusions

Quality upgrading of Chinese painting education in the new era requires breaking inherent traditional teaching frameworks and resolving deep-seated problems through systemic reform. As an innovative education path aligned with new-era aesthetic education development, competition-driven reform takes contests as the entry point, classrooms as the core venue, artistic innovation as the central goal and comprehensive artistic literacy as the ultimate objective. Through standardized contest benchmarks, competitive learning incentives, multi-dimensional practical platforms and optimized evaluation safeguards, the reform systematically reconstructs the complete talent cultivation system covering Chinese painting curricula, pedagogy, practical training, assessment and faculty development, thoroughly reversing formulaic, homogenized, superficial and closed drawbacks of traditional pedagogy.

Contest-driven reform preserves the professional foundation of traditional brush-and-ink inheritance for Chinese painting. Contest-inspired innovation activates the vitality of traditional painting to advance with contemporary times. Contest-centered education cultivates versatile art talents equipped with profound traditional cultural literacy, contemporary innovative capabilities and a sense of social responsibility. Deepened integration of contests and teaching enables Chinese painting education to authentically uphold traditions while pursuing innovation and improving educational quality, revitalizing traditional brush-and-ink art within the fertile soil of new-era aesthetic education. High-quality artistic education outcomes thus contribute to the inheritance and innovation of Chinese culture and the high-quality development of national aesthetic education.

Conflicts of Interest

The author declares that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this article.

Funding

This work was supported by Taishan College 2024 Teaching Reform Project (16th batch): Exploring the Chinese painting teaching path of ‘promoting reform through competitions, promoting teaching through writing’ under the Yellow River strategy, Project No.: JG202440.

References

- [1] Yang, W.M. Brushwork Brilliance and Tranquility—The Imagery Formation and Teaching Continuation of Tian Liming’s Ink Wash Figure Paintings. *Fine Arts*, 2025, 08, 39-42.
- [2] Wang, X.H.; Liu, J.L.; Fu, L. Research on the Pathways and Characteristics of Chinese Painting Talent Cultivation in the Context of the Western Painting Style Region—A Case Study of the Chinese Painting College at Xi'an Academy of Fine Arts. *Shaanxi Education (Higher Education)*, 2026, 03, 55-57.
- [3] Zheng, Z.M. Research on Teaching Practice of Thematic Creation-Oriented Chinese Figure Painting: A Case Study of the Creative Course Reform at Guangxi University of Arts. *Art Education Research*, 2025, 06, 131-133.
- [4] Tan, Y.Y. Research on the Practice of Blended Teaching in Chinese Figure Painting Courses in Normal Universities. *Beauty and Times*, 2024, 02, 110-112.
- [5] Zhang, R. On “Copying, Transformation, and Creation” in the Teaching of Gongbi Figure Painting in Universities. *Beauty and Times*, 2025, 08, 106-108.



© 2026 by the author(s); licensee International Technology and Science Publications (ITS), this work for open access publication is under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0). (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>)